

Contents

1. Introduction and overview of the thesis.....	1
1.1 Research questions and thesis structure	3
1.1.1 Research questions	3
1.1.2 Structure of the thesis	5
1.2 Methodology and data sources.....	5
1.3 Summary and main findings	8
1.4 Conclusion and future research.....	13
1.5 References	16
2. How are post-harvest loss estimates for Sub-Saharan Africa Obtained?.....	18
2.1 Introduction.....	19
2.2 The role of micro-level studies in obtaining region-wide PHL estimates.....	21
2.3 Recent micro-level studies: methodologies and reporting.....	23
2.3.1 Methodologies employed	23
2.3.2 Reporting	28
2.4 Supplementing for scarce and unusable data in region-wide PHL computations	29
2.5 Towards more reliable PHL estimates for SSA.....	32
2.6 Conclusion	34
2.7 References.....	36
2.8 Appendix.....	114
A 2.1: List of reviewed studies for micro-level post-harvest loss assessments	114

3. Do maize farmers utilize and benefit economically from advanced technologies for post-harvest loss reduction? A case study from Ghana.....	47
3.1 Introduction.....	48
3.2 Agricultural technology use and determinants	50
3.3 Analytical framework	52
3.3.1 The decision on use of advanced PHLR strategies.....	52
3.3.2 Use of advanced PH methods and differences in net-returns and prices	56
3.4 Survey and data description	57
3.5 Results and discussion	62
3.5.1 Storage and the use of advanced method in storage	62
3.5.2 Differences in net returns and prices.....	65
3.6 Conclusion and policy implication	67
3.7 References.....	69
3.8 Appendix.....	77
A 3.2: Sample of questions asked by enumerators during in-person interviews with maize farmers.....	77

4. Are maize marketers averse to quality loss in supplies? A case study from Ghana	84
4.1 Introduction.....	85
4.2 The nature of informal markets.....	87
4.3 Conceptual framework.....	89
4.3.1 Premium for quality loss reduction	90
4.3.2 Current risk reduction measures and premiums.....	92
4.3.3 Marketers association, strategic interaction and premiums	92
4.4 Survey description and methods	93
4.4.1 Survey of marketers	93
4.4.2 Assessing the value for loss reduction under the informal market scenario	94
4.4.3 Modeling aversion to quality loss under the hypothesized grade scenario.....	95
4.5 Results and discussion	100
4.5.1 Grain acceptance and prices.....	100
4.5.2 Premiums for quality loss reduction	101
4.5.3 Marketers interaction and premiums offered	103
4.5.4 Additional determinants and premiums offered.....	105
4.6 Conclusion and policy implication	106
4.7 References.....	109
4.8 Appendix.....	114
A 4.1: Additional explanatory variables and basis for inclusion in the model.....	114
A 4.2: Sample of questions asked by enumerators during in-person interviews with maize marketers.....	116