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Abstract

To anticipate rhythmic changes and optimize timing of physiological events, many organisms have evolved an internal-timing mechanism named the circadian clock. In Arabidopsis, its clock system consists of the positive/negative feedback loops, which are formed by oscillating components. The internal circadian rhythm resonates with daily environmental changes. The circadian clock can be set by two major exogenous cues: light and temperature. The clock components CCA1, LHY, PRR7, PRR9, TOC1, GI, and ELF3 are involved in the temperature regulation on the circadian clock, but the detailed mechanism, for how their inputs are processed still remains poorly understood. Hsp90 is one of the most important protein chaperons in living organisms. Hsp90 is intensively involved in the heat-stress response. Therefore, I proposed that Hsp90 participates in clock regulation in Arabidopsis.

In Chapter 3, Hsp90 was genetically and pharmacologically proved to influence the circadian clock. The period length was lengthened in the hsp90.2-3 mutant. Moreover, the phase response assay showed that Hsp90.2 particularly influenced the circadian clock before dawn. A chemical-epitasis assay on clock mutants revealed that CCA1 and LHY were involved in the Hsp90 regulation pathway. Interestingly, I found that the period length was closely related to the transcription patterns of CCA1 and LHY. Furthermore, by using the qRT-PCR approach, I found that PRR9 which represses the transcription of CCA1 was also involved in the Hsp90 regulation pathway. ELF3 was demonstrated to be the transcription repressor of PRR9 and the repression is altered by temperature particularly in the dark. This is consistent with the result of my phase response assay. In microscope assay, I found that Hsp90.2 transferred into the nucleus and co-localized with ELF3. Afterwards, an in vivo protein binding assay showed the interaction between Hsp90.2 and ELF3. Together, I could connect Hsp90.2 to an input at an oscillator component.

In Chapter 4, I examined the clock phenotypes of other hsp90.2 mutants after entrainment to either light or temperature. The hsp90.2-6 and hsp90.2-7 mutation resulted in a longer period under LD conditions whereas hsp90.2-4 and hsp90.2-8 resulted in a shorter period under WC conditions. Together, allele specific effects were detected.
Taken together, my thesis has placed Hsp90 within the clock input pathway. CCA1, LHY, PRR9 and ELF3 were all identified as targets in Hsp90 regulation pathway. Since different hsp90.2 mutations caused different clock phenotype, therefore I propose that more than one input pathways are thought to be present in Arabidopsis.
Zusammenfassung


In Kapitel 4 untersuchte ich die Phänotypen anderer hsp90.2 Mutanten in Bezug auf die Uhr, nachdem diese entweder durch Licht oder Temperatur konditioniert wurden. Die hsp90.2-6 und hsp90.2-7 Mutationen resultierten in längeren Perioden in LD Bedingungen, während hsp90.2-4 and hsp90.2-8 in WC Bedingungen kürzere Perioden zeigten. Zusammenfassend wurden allelspezifische Effekte detektiert.

Insgesamt konnte Hsp90 durch meine Thesis im Inputnetzwerk der Uhr platziert werden. CCA1, LHY, PRR9 und ELF3 wurden jeweils als Ziele des Regulationsweges von Hsp90 identifiziert. Da unterschiedliche hsp90.2 Mutationen unterschiedliche „circadian clock“ Phänotypen nach sich zogen, stelle ich die These auf, dass mehr als ein Weg für Inputs in Arabidopsis existiert.
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Chapter 1  Introduction
1.1 Introduction to circadian rhythms

The Earth’s rotation constantly generates the rhythmic changes of environment conditions. The most obvious diurnal changes are light and temperature. As a consequence, many organisms have evolved an internal-timing mechanism to anticipate those rhythmic changes. This internal-timing mechanism is the called circadian clock. Circadian rhythms are endogenously generated by the circadian clock. To match the daily alternation, most organisms have an approximate 24-hour circadian clock, which drives sleeping and awaking, mental concentration, hormone levels, and body-temperature homeostasis. (Dunlap et al., 2004). The circadian clock also regulates processes that occur seasonally, including flowering in plants, hibernation in mammals, and long-distance migration in butterflies (Harmer, 2009). In Arabidopsis, the circadian clock regulates approximately one-third of genes and 36% of Arabidopsis promoters are circadian regulated (Covington et al., 2008; Michael and McClung, 2003).

Plants were the first organisms for which the observation of circadian rhythms was noted. The discovery and research on the circadian clock dates back to the fourth century B.C. Androsthenes discovered that tamarind opened and closed their leaves rhythmically over the course of 24 hours (Bretzl, 1903). The first experiment for circadian rhythm was performed in 18th century by a French astronomer, de Mairan. From his work on leaf movement of plants kept in the dark, he concluded that there was an internal-timing mechanism involved in growth (de Mairan J, 1729). The molecular study of plant clocks began in 1985 with the observation that mRNA abundance of the LIGHT-HARVESTING CHLOROPHYLL A/B-BINDING PROTEIN (LHCB) of peas oscillated with a circadian rhythm (Kloppstech, 1985). In addition to plants, chronobiology studies were performed in many diverse organisms, such as cyanobacteria, fungi, yeast, insects and mammals. (Dunlap et al., 2004)

The internal circadian clock is reset by exogenous cues daily to keep synchronized with the diurnal circle. This process is called entrainment and such exogenous cues are often referred to as “zeitgebers” (or “time-givers”). For most organisms, the dominant zeitgebers are light and temperature signals. Light input to the clock occurs via multiple types of photoreceptors. For example, in plants the
phytochrome and cryptochrome photoreceptors control red and blue light signaling to the clock (Devlin and Kay, 2000; Somers et al., 1998a). Light regulation of the circadian clock occurs within multiple loops of the circadian clock at transcriptional, posttranscriptional, and posttranslational levels (Kim et al., 2007; Lidder et al., 2005; Yakir et al., 2007). For temperature, however, the input and regulation pathway remains poorly understood. Entrainment is mediated by clock-input pathways. The central clock is likely composed of multiple interlocked feedback loops, clock outputs may also be directly regulated by clock input signaling pathways, and clock components may act both within the central clock and in input and output signaling pathways (Harmer, 2009).

![Figure 1.1 The plant circadian clock system.](image)

Many physiological processes are regulated by the circadian clock through the output pathways (black and blue items). Moreover, the clock is reset by the input pathways (black items). Simultaneously, the input pathways are circadian regulated through the output pathways.

Cyanobacteria and higher plants gain an advantage when the endogenous
period is matched to the external diurnal cycle (Highkin and Hanson, 1954; Ouyang et al., 1998; Woelfle et al., 2004), which is named fitness advantage. Plants with a clock period matched to the environment contain more chlorophyll, fix more carbon, grow faster, and survive better than plants with circadian periods differing from their environment (Dodd et al., 2005).
1.2 The Arabidopsis circadian clock

1.2.1 Tools to investigate clock function

Circadian rhythms can be mathematically described in the form of sinusoidal waves. The rhythm wave includes three main properties: period, phase, and amplitude (Figure 1.2). Period is the time length of one cycle and defines the speed of the circadian rhythm. Phase describes the timing of specific events within the circadian day. Amplitude is defined as half of the difference between the maximum and the minimum value of an oscillation. Additionally, the accuracy of circadian oscillation is described by its robustness. The lack of circadian rhythms being sustainable is defined as arrhythmicity. By circadian convention, the time of onset of a signal that resets the clock is defined as zeitgeber (“time-giver”) time 0, abbreviated ZT0. ZT0–ZT12 represents the “day”, the time when the organism was exposed to light, whereas ZT12–ZT24 represents “night”. (Harmer, 2009). Similarly, the organisms are in warmth during ZT0-ZT12, and in coolness during ZT12-24.

![Figure 1.2 Analysis of circadian rhythms.](image)

The figure shows the main features of bioluminescence-based circadian experiments. Period is the time length of one cycle and defines the speed of the circadian rhythm. Phase refers to the timing of
specific events within the circadian day. Amplitude is half the difference between the maximum and the minimum value of an oscillation.

To monitor the plant circadian rhythm and investigate the mechanism underlying the circadian clock, various approaches have been established during the past centuries. The most obvious daily rhythm is that of leaf movement. Plant leaves change the position and leaf-angle state during a day. By monitoring leaf movement, it was found that in a free-running condition, plants have a non-24-hour periodicity (de Mairan J, 1729). Monitoring flowering time of plants led to the insights of the seasonal regulation of circadian clock (McClung, 2006). Many other bioprocesses such as growth, photosynthesis, state of stomata, are also recognized as being clock regulated (Yakir et al., 2007).

In the early 90s, a reporter gene, firefly luciferase expressed under the control of a clock-regulated gene, provided a visual output of the endogenous rhythm. This boosted circadian research in Arabidopsis (Millar et al., 1992). The luciferase gene was fused to the promoter of a clock-regulated gene. Subsequently, in the presence of luciferin, the luciferase substrate, bioluminencense emission by the luciferase closely tracked the activity of the promoter driving its expression. Among the commonly monitored clock-controlled genes, CHLOROPHYLL A/B BINDING PROTEIN (CAB) was the first luciferase-fused reporter (CAB::LUC) to be used for clock study (Millar et al., 1995). Because the dampening of CAB expression in the dark limits the use of CAB::LUC for DD (constant darkness) experiments, the COLD AND CIRCADIAN REGULATED (CCR2) was accepted as an ideal substitute, which can also maintain its robust rhythm under DD condition (Covington et al., 2001; Hanano et al., 2006; Heintzen et al., 1997; McWatters et al., 2007; Schoning et al., 2007). So far, the promoters of core-clock components, such as CCA1, LHY, TOC1, GI, PRR7, and PRR9, have been commonly fused with luciferase gene, serving as indicators of clock rhythm at different peak times. During the past years, luciferase-based visualization assay in Arabidopsis has been a powerful tool for the discovery of clock traits (Martin-Tryon et al., 2007; Millar et al., 1995; Onai et al., 2004; Somers et al., 2000; Strayer et al., 2000).

Other assays also contribute to the study of clock function. Quantitative reverse
transcriptase–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays allow quantifying the rhythmic expression of genes in diverse genetic backgrounds. DNA microarrays allow surveying genome-wide circadian regulation of gene expression, leading to important insights into clock function (Covington and Harmer, 2007; Covington et al., 2008; Edwards et al., 2006; Harmer et al., 2000; Michael et al., 2008; Schaffer et al., 2001). Taken together, these molecular-level assays have led to recent rapid progress in the plant circadian clock research field.

1.2.2 The circadian clock model

The mathematic model of the plant circadian clock consists of three interlocked transcriptional feedback loops: the core loop, the morning loop and the evening loop (Figure 1.3). The core loop consists of three components: CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1 (CCA1), LATE ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL (LHY) and TIME OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1). Both CCA1 and LHY are morning-phased Myb-related transcription factors (Romero et al., 1998), while TOC1, also known as PSEUDO-RESPONSE REGULATOR 1 (PRR1), one of the pseudo-response regulator family members, is an evening-phased, clock-regulated gene. CCA1 and LHY bind directly to the TOC1 promoter and inhibit its expression during the day (Alabadi et al., 2001). However, TOC1 also represses transcription of CCA1 and LHY at night. In the absence of the core loop, the circadian clock stays arrhythmic, which suggests that CCA1, LHY, and TOC1 are notably important for the circadian clock in Arabidopsis. CCA1 and LHY are partially genetically redundant. The loss of CCA1 or LHY results in a shorter clock period (Green and Tobin, 1999; Mizoguchi et al., 2002). However, overexpression of either CCA1 or LHY causes arrhythmicity of the clock (Schaffer et al., 1998; Wang and Tobin, 1998). Overexpression of CCA1 or LHY can generate a long-hypocotyl and late-flowering phenotype. Similar with CCA1 and LHY, the loss of TOC1 results in a shorter period (Mas et al., 2003a; Millar et al., 1995; Somers et al., 1998b). The TOC1 promoter contains the evening element (EE), whose sequence is AAATATCT (Hamer et al., 2000). The EE was found in many clock-regulated genes showing a peak at dusk and was necessary for proper circadian expression (Hamer et al., 2000). CCA1 and LHY bind to the EE of the
TOC1 promoter to repress the transcription of TOC1 (Alabadi et al., 2001; Harmer et al., 2000).

The morning loop is formed by CCA1, LHY, PRR7, and PRR9. Besides TOC1 (PRR1), the PRR family contains the other four members: PRR3, PRR5, PRR7, and PRR9. Reverse-genetic studies revealed that these PRR genes all play a role in the plant clock (Eriksson et al., 2003; Farre et al., 2005; Kaczorowski and Quail, 2003; Michael et al., 2003; Nakamichi et al., 2005; Salome and McClung, 2005). During the day, PRR9 and PRR7 bind to the promoter of CCA1 and LHY to repress their transcription (Nakamichi et al., 2010; Nakamichi et al., 2005). Reciprocally, LHY and CCA1 induce the expression of PRR9 and PRR7 by direct binding to their promoter (Farre et al., 2005; Portoles and Mas, 2010). The prr7, prr9, and the double mutant prr7 prr9, all display long-period phenotypes (Alabadi et al., 2001; Farre et al., 2005; Yamamoto et al., 2003), while the prr5 prr7 prr9 triple mutant is essentially arrhythmic (Nakamichi et al., 2005).

Mathematical modeling suggests there is an evening loop comprising TOC1 and an unknown component Y. Y positively regulates TOC1 expression and the expression of Y is predicted to be negatively regulated by TOC1 (Locke et al., 2005). Partial Y function was assigned to the evening gene GIGANTEA (GI). Both GI mRNA and protein are clock regulated, with peaks around dusk. The timing of GI accumulation would be consistent with TOC1 (Alabadi et al., 2001). However, high levels of TOC1 found in the gi mutants suggested additional components may assist in Y function (Martin-Tryon et al., 2007).
Figure 1.3 One Arabidopsis circadian clock model.
Circadian-clock components are arranged in interlocked feedback loops. In the core loop, CCA1 and LHY negatively regulate TOC1 expression whereas TOC1 represses CCA1 and LHY. In the morning loop, PRR7 and PRR9 negatively regulate LHY and CCA1 whereas CCA1 and LHY induce PRR7 and PRR9. In the evening loop, TOC1 represses GI expression, whereas GI activates TOC1 expression. In addition, CCA1 and LHY represses ELF3, ELF4, and LUX expression. LUX, ELF3, and ELF4 form a evening complex and repress PRR7, PRR9, and GI expression.

In addition to transcriptional regulation, posttranscriptional process also plays a critical role in proper clock functions. The stability and translation of some mRNAs are influenced by the circadian clock and light signaling (Gutierrez et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2003; Lidder et al., 2005), and the abundance of many clock proteins is under posttranslational control. For example, ZTL, an F-box protein, interacts with both TOC1 and PRR5, leading to their degradation by the 26S-proteasome (Baudry et al., 2010; Kiba et al., 2007; Mas et al., 2003b). ZTL protein interactions are mediated by the LOV (Light, Oxygen, or Voltage) domain that also functions as a blue-light photoreceptor. The blue light stimulates the physical interaction between GI and ZTL.
This interaction stabilizes both ZTL and GI (David et al., 2006; Fujiwara et al., 2008; Kiba et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2007; Mas et al., 2003b).
1.3 Temperature sensing and signalling in plants

Plants are exposed to daily and seasonal fluctuations in temperature. As an environmental variable, temperature limits the distribution and induces the variation of plants on the earth, partially due to strong influence on plant development by non-stress temperatures.

1.3.1 Temperature affects plant development

Within the non-stress range of 12–27°C, plants dramatically differ in growth rates and developmental responses (Samach and Wigge, 2005). Lower temperature slows down growth. This is generally due to reduced enzymatic activities and biochemical reactions (McClung and Davis, 2010). However, mutations in growth repressor gene DELLA in gibberellic acid (GA) signaling, are able to compromise the inhibition effect of low temperature (Kumar et al., 2012; Stavang et al., 2009). As one thermal response, hypocotyl lengthening helps Arabidopsis to adapt to hotter conditions (Crawford et al., 2012; van Zanten et al., 2009). Temperature controls hypocotyl elongation through a regulator PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 4 (PIF4) (Proveniers and van Zanten, 2013). The pif4 mutants are unable to increase hypocotyl length at warmer temperatures (Koini et al., 2009; Stavang et al., 2009).

The flowering of plant is also strongly affected by temperature (Kumar and Wigge, 2010). Warm temperature induction of flowering in Arabidopsis is triggered by an upregulation in the expression of the floral integrator gene FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) (Balasubramanian et al., 2006). A class of micro- RNAs (miRNAs) responsive to ambient temperature mediates the temperature regulation on flowering timing. Changes in the ambient temperature range lead to alterations in steadystate miRNA abundance. Furthermore, with the overexpression of miR172, expression of FT ignores higher temperature and increases. On the other hand, the acceleration of flowering in response to a high temperature requires the activity of PIF4 (Proveniers and van Zanten, 2013). FT expression regulated by PIF4 binding to its promoter is highly temperature-dependent (Kumar et al., 2012).

1.3.2 Hormones signaling pathway is temperature-dependent
Plant hormones play a major role in both cell division and cell expansion. As a key hormonal factor, auxin can facilitate both division and elongation (Mockaitis and Estelle, 2008), which are sensitive to the changes of ambient temperature. PIF4 is also involved in the auxin signalling pathway in response to higher temperature. PIF4 increases auxin biosynthesis in response to higher temperatures by binding to promoters of three auxin biosynthesis genes, *TRYPTOPHAN AMINOTRANSFERASE OF ARABIDOPSIS* 1 (*TAA1*), *CYTOCHROME P450, FAMILY 79, SUBFAMILY B, PEPTIDE 2* (*CYP79B2*) and *YUCCA8*, and subsequently upregulating their expression (Franklin *et al.*, 2011; Hornitschek *et al.*, 2012; Sun *et al.*, 2012).

1.3.3 Circadian clock is temperature-regulated

Two major influences of temperature on the circadian clock are entrainment and compensation. Entrainment of the circadian clock, primarily via the detection of changes in light and temperature, maintains synchronization between the surrounding environment and the endogenous clock mechanism. In Arabidopsis, thermocycles are able to entrain the clock in constant light. A key player necessary for thermal entrainment is the evening loop component *EARLY FLOWERING3* (*ELF3*), as elf3 mutants are unable to entrain in thermocycles in darkness (Thines and Harmon, 2010). Circadian clocks are able to maintain robust rhythms that are constant over a broad range of physiological temperatures. This property is termed temperature compensation. A dynamic balance between LHY and GI functions maintains robust and accurate rhythmicity at higher temperatures, while at lower temperatures, CCA1 plays a greater role than LHY in temperature compensation (Gould *et al.*, 2006). Thermocycles also act on a number of processes such as cell cycle, protein synthesis and DNA replication independently of photocycles, suggesting that different sensory mechanisms are involved in transmitting temperature information to the clock (Michael *et al.*, 2008). Entrainment and compensation are described in further details below.
1.4 Temperature regulation on circadian clock

1.4.1 Temperature entrainment

Acting as a *zeitgeber*, temperature can set the phase of the clock. This process is termed temperature entrainment. It appears that temperature and light entrainments are two partially independent processes, as nonoverlapping QTL for the two entrainment conditions exists (Boikoglou et al., 2011).

PRR7 and PRR9 are demonstrated as the key components in temperature entrainment. The *prr7-3* mutant display a long period for *CCA1*, *LHY* and *TOC1* and the *prr9-1* mutant exhibit a long period phenotype as well. The *prr7-3 prr9-1* double mutant displays a much longer period (>32 h), compared to either single mutant, indicating that PRR7 and PRR9 are partially functionally overlapped. The *prr7-3 prr9-1* mutant is compromised in its ability to entrain to thermocycles. When transferred from photocycles to thermocycles, the *prr7-3 prr9-1* seedlings fail to maintain the 24h period and even becomes arrhythmic under some conditions, indicating an inability to entrain. Additionally, when exclusively entrained to thermocycles, the *prr7-3 prr9-1* double mutant is seen to be arrhythmic either during or after entrainment. However, the entrainment defect is rescued when the *prr7-3 prr9-1* mutant is entrained to higher-temperature (28°C /22°C) thermocycles. Furthermore, the *prr7-3 prr9-1* double mutant is not able to be reset by temperature pulses (Salome and McClung, 2005; Salome et al., 2010). Taken together, PRR7 and PRR9 are required for temperature to set the clock.

ELF3 is also involved in the temperature entrainment. The *elf3* mutant is unable to maintain rhythmicity following 4°C or 10°C thermocycle differences (McWatters et al., 2000; Thines and Harmon, 2010). The temperature induction of *PRR7*, *PRR9*, and *GI* mRNA accumulations are eliminated in the *elf3-1* mutant. However, the basal accumulation levels of these genes are elevated, especially at night. In contrast, overexpression of ELF3 does not affect the phase response to temperature, which indicates that ELF3 is a target of temperature input, but not a perceiver of temperature (Thines and Harmon, 2010).
1.4.2 Temperature compensation

Plants are naturally exposed to fluctuations of ambient temperature. However, the circadian clock can maintain robust and accurate rhythms over a broad range of physiological temperatures, which is termed temperature compensation.

In Arabidopsis, the morning loop components CCA1, LHY, PRR7, and PRR9 play an important role in the temperature-compensation mechanism. The prr7 prr9 mutant maintains the same period length as wild type at 12°C, but is lengthened at higher temperatures, demonstrating overcompensation (Salome et al., 2010). Temperature compensation is restored when expression of CCA1 and LHY are reduced, demonstrating that temperature compensation through PRR7 and PRR9 at high temperature is completely CCA1-/LHY-dependent (Salome et al., 2010). Furthermore, reduction of CCA1 and LHY expression in wild type and prr7 prr9 resulted in a short period at all temperatures, suggesting that PRR7 and PRR9 are regulated by CCA1 and LHY in the temperature compensation mechanism (Salome et al., 2010).

In addition to CCA1 and LHY, GI is also involved in the temperature-compensation mechanism (Gould et al., 2006). The GI gene encodes a 127-kD nuclear protein. GI is regulated by the circadian clock, with a peak in expression 12 h after dawn (Fowler et al., 1999). In addition to its role in flowering, GI was also identified to affect the circadian clock, as the gi mutant cause a short-period phenotype (Park et al., 1999). Meanwhile, the gi mutation results in a reduction in the expression of CCA1 and LHY (Fowler et al., 1999). The gi mutant shows normal period phenotypes at low temperatures, but becomes sensitive to high temperatures, suggesting that the existence of GI expands the temperature range, over which the circadian rhythm can remain robust and accurate. It has been demonstrated that GI maintains robustness and accuracy of the circadian clock at higher temperatures by the temperature-dependent regulation of TOC1, thereby maintaining expression of CCA1 and LHY to sustain clock functions. A dynamic balance between LHY and GI functions at higher temperature partially explains the temperature compensation mechanism. At lower temperatures, CCA1 plays a greater role than LHY in
temperature compensation and the maintenance of rhythm robustness (Gould et al., 2006).
1.5 Hsp90 and plant circadian clock

1.5.1 Molecular chaperones and heat stress

Molecular chaperones are families of proteins that assist the folding of newly synthesized or misfolded proteins, prevent protein aggregation and protect subunits from stresses during the assembly of complexes (Saibil, 2013). Their increased expression in response to stress is a key characteristic for cell self-protection. Most of the main chaperones work in the ATP-dependent way, facilitating their folding or unfolding (Mayer, 2010), particularly in response to thermal changes in protein folding.

Organisms are sometimes exposed to various stressful conditions, including sudden temperature increases. Proteins and cell structures are evolutionarily optimized to be only stable at certain temperatures. Even a small increase in temperature can cause protein unfolding, degradation, and unspecific aggregation. In response to heat stress, organisms have evolved a protection mechanism leading to the transient expression of heat shock proteins (Hsps). The increased levels of Hsps in response to moderate stress conditions are the basis for this resistance (Lindquist, 1986). Hsps are located in the cytoplasm under normal physiological conditions. However, under stress conditions, some of these Hsps rapidly transfer to the nucleus (Horwitz, 1992; Lindquist and Craig, 1988). Interestingly, increased Hsps due to one type of stress provide protection against other stresses, which is termed “crossprotection” (Lindquist, 1986).

Based on molecular mass, Hsps are divided into five major and conserved families—Hsp60s, Hsp70s, Hsp90s, Hsp100s (the number indicates the molecular mass of each HSP subunit), and small heat shock proteins (sHsps). Hsp60 acts at early stages of folding whereas Hsp90 acts at a late stage of folding of substrates, integrating signaling functions. Hsp70 directs substrates for unfolding, disaggregation, refolding or degradation. Hsp100 cooperates with either a protease ring for degradation or Hsp70 for disaggregation, avoiding the toxic effects of aggregation. Hsp70 and Hsp90 are highly interactive, functioning with many partners and cofactors. The domains of Hsp70 and Hsp90 interact with specific co-chaperones, which regulate their functions in a variety of ways.
1.5.2 Heat shock protein 90

Heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) is a highly conserved and abundant (i.e., ~1% of total proteins) protein in prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells, involved in the assembly, maturation, stabilization and activation of key signaling proteins and in assisting cell survival under stresses (Pearl and Prodromou, 2006; Picard, 2002).

In animals, Hsp90 mediates extensive signal transduction, including assisting folding of steroid hormone receptors, protein kinases, and transcription factors, as well as activation of the substrate to initiate stress signal transduction (Jackson et al., 2004; Shinozaki et al., 2006; Wegele et al., 2004; Zuehlke and Johnson, 2010). Hsp90 is involved in controlling normal growth of human cell and in promoting tumor cell development (Scroggins et al., 2007; Zuehlke and Johnson, 2010). So far, a number of Hsp90 genes have been identified from many plants. It was recently shown that Hsp90s play an important role in plant development, stress response, and disease resistance (Jarosz and Lindquist, 2010; Rizhsky et al., 2002; Sangster and Queitsch, 2005).

Hsp90 is a flexible dimer with intrinsic ATPase activity. Almost all homologs of Hsp90 are conserved, containing three domains: an N-terminal conserved ATP-binding domain, a middle domain, and a C-terminal dimerization domain (Cowen, 2008; Terasawa et al., 2005; Wayne et al., 2011; Young et al., 2001). The N-terminal ATP-binding domain contains the ATP/ADP binding site. ATP binding and hydrolysis causes the conformational alteration of the N-terminal domain (Hessling et al., 2009; Mickler et al., 2009). Some natural substances, such as GDA, closely bind to this position to interfere with Hsp90 functions (Whitesell et al., 1992). The middle domain plays a key role in binding to substrate. The C-terminal domain is necessary for dimerization, and it also serve as the binding site of calmodulin and other substrates (Jackson et al., 2004).

In Arabidopsis, several isoforms of Hsp90 have been found. Among these isoforms, Hsp90.1, Hsp90.2, Hsp90.3, and Hsp90.4 are identified to be located in the cytoplasm. Hsp90.5, Hsp90.6, and Hsp90.7 were predicted to be located within the plastidial, mitochondrial, and endoplasmic reticulum, respectively (Milioni and Hatzopoulos, 1997; Sangster and Queitsch, 2005). Hsp90.2, Hsp90.3, and Hsp90.4
have high similarity, which suggests that they are functionally redundant. Loss of Hsp90 function in plants resulted in abnormal plant phenotypes, including an epinastic cotyledon, disc or radial symmetry of cotyledons, and abnormal growth of root hairs (Queitsch et al., 2002). It has been demonstrated that Hsp90 participated in the seed embryo formation and seed germination and Hsp90 also affected elongation of the hypocotyl (Prasinos et al., 2005; Sangster et al., 2008b).

Hsp90 can be induced by both abiotic and biotic stresses in plants. One of the typical abiotic stresses is heat shock stress. Hsp90 is known to be involved in regulation of heat shock response. In the promoters of Hsps, there are several heat shock elements (HSEs) which can be bound by heat shock factors (HSFs). Under normal conditions, Hsp90.2 negatively regulates transcription of heat-induced genes by suppression of HSF. Under heat shock stress, Hsp90.2 is inactivated while HSF is activated to induce expression of genes containing HSF elements (Yamada et al., 2007). Under stress conditions, the HSFs closely integrate with HSE to initiate transcription of Hsp genes (Jarosz and Lindquist, 2010; Lohmann et al., 2004). In addition, it was identified that overexpression of Hsp90.2 suppresses HsfA2 transcription whereas HsfA2 is induced under inhibition of Hsp90.2 (Nishizawa-Yokoi et al., 2010). In response of biotic stress, Hsp90 mediates signaling pathways of disease resistance in plants. Plant immunity is initiated by resistance (R) proteins which provide disease resistance specificity by conferring resistance to pathogen strains expressing the certain molecule. The largest class of R protein contains a nucleotide-binding site and leucine-rich repeats, which are termed NB-LRRs (Sangster et al., 2008a). The interactions of Hsp90 and SGT1 (suppressor of the G2 allele of skp1) and RAR1 (required for Mla12 resistance) stabilize NLR (nucleotide-binding domain and LRR) proteins, which mediate plant defense mechanisms (Shirasu and Schulze-Lefert, 2003). Disease resistance mediated by RPM1, an NB-LRR protein, was weakened in hsp90.2 mutant plants (Hubert et al., 2003).

1.5.3 Hsp90 and the clock

As described above, Hsp90 can assist the assembly, maturation, stabilization of signaling proteins and it has a broad range of client proteins in Arabidopsis. Interestingly, a number of the cytosolic Hsp90 genes oscillate with an evening phase
under light-dark cycles. Recent studies showed that inhibition of cytoplasmic Hsp90 by Hsp90-specific inhibitor GDA and RNAi-mediated depletion results in a long-period phenotype. Meanwhile, it was proposed that the clock component ZEITLUPE (ZTL) is the client of Hsp90, which suggests that Hsp90 is involved in the clock regulation pathway. *In vitro* “holdase” assay showed that Hsp90 associates with ZTL, protecting ZTL against denaturation. Furthermore, the gene expression of *TOC1* and *PRR5* was found to be altered when Hsp90 is depleted, which is subsequently thought to be mediated by ZTL. As the stabilizer of ZTL, neither of the mRNA and protein levels of GI is affected by inhibition of Hsp90. However, GI was demonstrated to be linked with Hsp90 in the posttranslational regulation of ZTL (Kim et al., 2011). So far, how Hsp90 regulates the circadian clock in Arabidopsis still remains poorly understood and further studies are needed for the detailed mechanism.
1.6 Thesis objectives

Previous studies have shown that temperature is an important external cue to influence the plant circadian clock through two processes named entrainment and compensation. It has been demonstrated that PRR7, PRR9 and ELF3 are the key components involved in the temperature entrainment process. The *prr7*-*prr9*-*elf3* mutant is not able to be entrained to normal temperature cycles (Salome and McClung, 2005). Similarly, the *elf3* mutant shows arrhythmicity following 4°C or 10°C thermocycle differences (McWatters et al., 2000; Thines and Harmon, 2010). Temperature compensation is a collaboration work of CCA1, LHY, PRR7, PRR9, and GI. Temperature compensation through PRR7 and PRR9 at high temperature is completely CCA1-/LHY-dependent (Salome et al., 2010). However, GI also maintains robustness and accuracy of the circadian clock at higher temperatures by maintaining expression of *CCA1* and *LHY* to sustain the clock function (Gould et al., 2006). In addition, Hsp90 has been shown to influence the circadian clock through ZTL (Kim et al., 2011).

My PhD thesis aimed to identify the role of Hsp90 in temperature regulation on the circadian clock. As Hsp90 is a chaperon protein responding to temperature change and stress, it is highly likely that Hsp90 serves as a temperature sensor and mediates the temperature signaling. For this, I examined the alteration of clock phenotypes by both mutating Hsp90.2 and inhibiting Hsp90 protein with its specific inhibitor, GDA. To identify the detailed molecular pathway, I tested if the gene expression of clock components are affected by Hsp90.2 under temperature conditions. Finally, I examined the interaction between Hsp90.2 and its potential targets. Further, I examined the other *hsp90.2* mutants to see how their circadian clock behaves.
Chapter 2  Material and methods
2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Mutant lines

Table 2.1 Mutant and transgenic lines previously made

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant and transgenic lines previously made</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-1</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>David A. Hubert, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-3</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>David A. Hubert, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-4</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>David A. Hubert, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-6</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>David A. Hubert, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-7</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>David A. Hubert, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-8</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>David A. Hubert, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR7::PRR7-GFP</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Nakamichi N, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR9::PRR9-GFP</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Nakamichi N, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr7-3</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Salome and McClung, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr9-1</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Salome and McClung, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr7-3 prr9-1</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Salome and McClung, 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2 Luciferase lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LUC marker</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Selection marker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCR2:LUC</td>
<td>Ws-2</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA1:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PPT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHY:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC1:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-1 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-3CCR2:LUC</td>
<td>Ws-2</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-4 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-6 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-7 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-8 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr7 LHY:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr9 LHY:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr7 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prr9 GI:LUC</td>
<td>Col</td>
<td>PTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cca1 CCR2:LUC</td>
<td>Ws-2</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lhy CCR2:LUC</td>
<td>Ws-2</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cca11 lhy CCR2:LUC</td>
<td>Ws-2</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toc1 CCR2:LUC</td>
<td>Ws-2</td>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.2 Chemicals
1,4 Dithiothreitol (DTT) (biomol, #04010.5)
2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, MES (Duchefa, #M1503)
3′,5′-Dimethoxy-4′-hydroxyacetophenone, Acetosyringone (Sigma, # D134406)
Acrylamide (29:1) (Roth, #A124.1)
Adenine hemisulfate (Sigma, #A-9126)
Agarose (Bio-Budget, #10-35-1020)
Ammonium persulfate (Sigma, #A7460)
Bactoagar (BD, #214040)
Bacto-tryptone (BD, #211705)
Beef extract (BD, #212303)
Boric acid (Merck, #1.00165)
Bromophenol blue (Sigma, #47522)
Carbenicillin (Sigma, #C-1389)
Chloramphenicol (Sigma, #C-0378)
Chloroform (Merck, #1.02445)
cOmplete® EDTA-free tablets (Roche, #11873580001)
cOmplete® Mini EDTA-free tablets (Roche, #11836170001)
Dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO (J.T. Baker, #7157)
DL-Phosphinothricin, PPT (Duchefa, #P0159)
D-Luciferin (Synchem, #S039)
Ethanol (J.T.Baker, #8006)
Ethidium bromide (Sigma; #46067)
Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, EDTA (Merck, #944)
GDA (Sigma, #G3381)
Gentamicin sulfate (Sigma, #G-3632)
GFP-Trap (ChromoTek, #110714001A)
Glutathione sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, #17-0756-01)
Glycerol (Roth, #7530.1)
Glycine (Roth, #3908.2)
Hygromycin (Duchefa, #H0192)
Imidazole (Sigma, #56750)
IPTG (Roth, #2316.2)
Isopropanol (Appli. Chem., #A0900)
Kanamycin sulfate (Duchefa, #K4378)
KLORIX®, commercial sodium hypochlorite solution
Lithium Acetate (Sigma, #L-5750)
Lithium chloride (Li Cl) (Roth, #3739.1)
Magnesium chloride (Roth, #KK36.3)
Methanol (Chem Solute, #1437.2511)
Murashige and Skoog media, MS (Sigma, #M5524 and Duchefa, #M0221)
Na$_2$HPO$_4$ (Sigma, #S0876)
NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (Merck, #1.06346)
Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen, #139298931)
Peptone (Difco, #0122-17-4)
Phenol /Chloroform (Roth, #A156.1)
Phytoagar (Duchefa, #P0001)
Protease Inhibitors Cocktail for plant cell and tissue extracts (Sigma, #P9599)
Rifampicin (Sigma, #83907)
Sodium Acetate (Merck, #1.06268)
Sodium chloride, NaCl (Merck, #1.37017)
Sodium deoxycholate (Fluka, #30970)
Sodium dodecyl sulfate, SDS (Roth, #23.26.2)
Spectinomycin (Sigma, #S-9007)
Streptomycin (Sigma, #S-9137)
Sucrose (Roth, #4621)
Tetramethylethlenediamine (TEMED) (Fluka, #87689)
Tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane Hydrochloride, Tris HCl (Roth, #5429.3)
Triton-X100 (Roth, #3051)
Urea (Sigma, #33247)
Yeast extract (BD, #212750)
2.1.3 Reagents for each method

Seed sterilization

Bleach solution: 33% KLORIX® (v/v)
0.01% agar in sterile ddH₂O (w/v)

Growth media for plants

Table 2.3 MS media for plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MS1</th>
<th>MS1 (3% agar)</th>
<th>MS3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>4.4 g/L</td>
<td>4.4 g/L MS</td>
<td>4.4 g/L MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MES</td>
<td>0.5 g/L</td>
<td>0.5 g/L MES</td>
<td>0.5 g/L MES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>10 g/L</td>
<td>10 g/L Sucrose</td>
<td>30 g/L Sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoagar</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3% Phytoagar</td>
<td>1.5% Phytoagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 5.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>pH 5.7</td>
<td>pH 5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4 Antibiotics for plant selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Stock</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PPT</td>
<td>12 mg/mL in ddH₂O</td>
<td>12 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygromycin</td>
<td>30 mg/mL in ddH₂O</td>
<td>15 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bioluminescence analysis

50 mM D-luciferin stock

1 g Firefly D-Luciferin
71.3 mL 1M Triphosphate buffer (Na₂HPO₄ / NaH₂PO₄) pH 8.0

5 mM D-luciferin working solution

1.5 mL 50 mM D-luciferin stock
13.5 mL 0.01% (w/v) Triton-X100

Plant DNA extraction

TE buffer

10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0
1 mM EDTA

DNA Extraction Buffer (DEB)

200 mM Tris pH 8.0
240 mM NaCl
25 mM EDTA
1% (w/v) SDS

**PCR**

Primers (Invitrogen)
dNTP Set, 100 mM Solutions (Fermentas, # R0182)
Taq-DNA polymerase (Genaxxon Bioscience : PeqLab, #01-1000)
Pfu II Ultra® II Fusion HS DNA polymerase (Stratagene, # 600670)
QIAprep® Spin Miniprep kit (Qiagen, #27104)
10 mg/mL Ethidium bromide
6X DNA loading buffer (Fermentas, # R1151)
2X TBE Electrophoresis buffer
  67.23 g/L Tris-Cl
  34.31 g/L Boric acid
  37.22 g/L EDTA pH 8.0

**RNA extraction**

RNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, #74904)
DNase I recombinant, RNase free (Roche, #04716728001)
Protector RNase Inhibitor (Roche, #03335402001)

**qRT-PCR**

OligodT primer (Invitrogen)
Superscript® II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, #18064-014)
iQ™ SYBR® Green supermix (Bio-rad, #170-8882)

Table 2.5 Primers for qRT-PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCA1</td>
<td>TCTGTGTCTGACGAGGGTCGAATT</td>
<td>ACTTTGCGGCAATACCTCTCTGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHY</td>
<td>CAACAGCAACAAACAATGCAACTAC</td>
<td>AGAGAGCCTGAAACGCTATACGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR7</td>
<td>TGAAAGTTGGAAAAGGACCA</td>
<td>GTTCCACGTGCATTAGCTCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR9</td>
<td>GCACAGAGAAACCAAGAGGA</td>
<td>CTTTCACCTCGAGCAGATTGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Genotyping

GoTaq DNA Polymerase (Promega, #M830B)
Eva green (Biotium, #31000)

Table 2.6 Primers for genotyping Hsp90.2 mutations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant lines</th>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Changes in amino acid</th>
<th>Mutation sites*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TGT TGG CTA ATT CGT GCT TC</td>
<td>G95E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>TCC ATG AAT TCC TTG GTC CC</td>
<td>G/A at 284bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TGT TGG CTA ATT CGT GCT TCT</td>
<td>S100F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>CCA GTG CTT CCA TGA ATT CCT</td>
<td>C/T at 299bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>TTG TTT GCT TAC GAT GCT TC</td>
<td>A42T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>ACC ATC GAG CTT GCT CTT GT</td>
<td>G/A at 124bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>GCT GAA ACC TTT GCT TTC CA</td>
<td>A11T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>CTG ATG AGT TCA CGG AGG AAG</td>
<td>G/A at 31bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp90.2-8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>AGC CCA ACA ACA TCA AGC TC</td>
<td>R337C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>TCC GAG GTA CTC AGG GAT GA</td>
<td>C/T at 1009bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* cDNA information of Hsp90.2 (AT5G56030.1) from TAIR

Gateway cloning

GATEWAY® BP Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen, 11789-020)
GATEWAY® LR Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen, 11791-020)

Table 2.7 Plasmid used for molecular cloning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>antibiotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pDONR201</td>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDEST22</td>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDEST32</td>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pJIC8</td>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-TAP</td>
<td>Spectinomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEX-6p1</td>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET28b</td>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35s::GW::cherry</td>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35s::GW::GFP</td>
<td>Carbenicillin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.8 Primers for cloning cDNAs into pDONR 201/207 (Invitrogen)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hsp90.2</td>
<td>GGG GAC AAG TTT GTA CAA AAA AGC AGG CTT CAT GGC GGA CGC TGA AAC CTT</td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC TTA GTC GAC TCC CAT CTG GC (no stop codon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC GTC GAC TCC CTC CAT CT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA1</td>
<td>GGG GAC AAG TTT GTA CAA AAA AGC AGG CTT AAT GGA GAC AAA TTC TCT CAT CT</td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTA TGT GGA AGC TTT AGT TTC CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTA TGT AGA AGC TTC TCC TTC CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHY</td>
<td>GGG GAC AAG TTT GTA CAA AAA AGC AGG CTT AAT GGA TAC TAA TAC ATC TGG</td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTA TGT AGA AGC TTT AAT GGT TTT TA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC TTA GCT ATC CTC AAT GGT TTT TA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR7</td>
<td>GGG GAC AAG TTT GTA CAA AAA AGC AGG CTT CAT GGA GAC AAA TTC TCT CAT GGT GGT TTT</td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG TCA TGA TTT TGT AGA CGC GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC TTA GCT ATC CTC AAT GGT TTT TA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRR9</td>
<td>GGG GAC AAG TTT GTA CAA AAA AGC AGG CTT CAT GGA GAC AAA TTC TCT CAT GGT GGT TTT</td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG TCA TGA TTT TGT AGA CGC GT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC TTA GCT ATC CTC AAT GGT TTT TA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELF3</td>
<td>GGG GAC AAG TTT GTA CAA AAA AGC AGG CTT CAT GAA GAG AGG GAA AGA TGA</td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC TTA AGG CTT AGA GGA GTC AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC TTA AGG CTT AGA GGA GTC AT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC AGG CTT AGA GGA GTC AT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GGG GAC CAC TTT GTA CAA GAA AGC TGG GTC AGG CTT AGA GGA GTC ATA GC (no stop codon)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Growth media for bacteria**

Luria Bertani (LB)

- 10 g/L Bacto-tryptone
- 5 g/L Yeast extract
- 5 g/L NaCl
- 1% Agar
- pH 7.5

YEBS

- 5 g/L Beef extract
- 5 g/L Peptone
- 5 g/L Sucrose
1 g/L Yeast extract  
0.5 g/L MgSO₄  
1% agar  
pH 7.0

Table 2.9 Antibiotics for bacteria selection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Stock</th>
<th>Final concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>100 mg/mL in ddH₂O</td>
<td>10 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbamicillin</td>
<td>100 mg/mL in ddH₂O</td>
<td>100 μg/mL (E. coli)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 μg/mL (Agrobacterium)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>100 mg in ddH₂O</td>
<td>50 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol</td>
<td>10 mg/mL in ethanol</td>
<td>30 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampicin</td>
<td>25 mg/mL in methanol</td>
<td>25 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectinomycin</td>
<td>30 mg/mL in ddH₂O</td>
<td>30 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>30 mg/mL in ddH₂O</td>
<td>30 μg/mL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extraction and purification of E. coli expressed proteins

Table 2.10 Buffers for protein extraction and purification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lysis buffer</th>
<th>Wash buffer</th>
<th>Elution buffer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50mM NaH₂PO₄</td>
<td>50mM NaH₂PO₄</td>
<td>50mM NaH₂PO₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300mM NaCl</td>
<td>300mM NaCl</td>
<td>300mM NaCl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10mM imidazole</td>
<td>20mM imidazole</td>
<td>250mM imidazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
<td>pH 8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1X PBS

8g NaCl  
1.54g Na₂HPO₄·12H₂O  
0.29g KH₂PO₄  
1% Triton-X100/PBS  
1% Triton-X100  
1X PBS

5mM Glutathion solution

15.4mg Glutathion powder  
5ml 50mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0

Dialysis buffer

10mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0  
30% Glycerol
In vitro binding buffer

Binding buffer

- 50mM Tris-Cl pH7.5
- 1mM EDTA
- 150mM NaCl
- 0.1% Triton X-100
- 10% Glycerol
- 1X BSA

Tobacco Agro-infiltration

Infiltration solution

- 1 mM MgCl$_2$
- 1 mM MES
- ddH$_2$O

MES

- MS (4.4G/L)
- 10% Sucrose
- 2.6mM MES
- pH 5.8 (adjust pH with KOH)

Co-immuneprecipitation buffer

IP buffer

- 50mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0
- 150mM NaCl
- 1mM EDTA
- 10% Glycerol
- 1% Triton X-100
- ½ tablet/10mL cOmplete® EDTA-free tablets
- 50mM MG132

Washing buffer

- 50mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0
- 140mM NaCl
- 1mM EDTA
- 0.1% Triton X-100

Protein extraction
Denaturation buffer

100mM NaH2PO4
10mM Tris-Cl (pH8)
8M Urea

**SDS-PAGE**

SDS-PAGE gel

Table 2.11 Separation gel for 1.5mm case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gel concentration</th>
<th>ddH2O (mL)</th>
<th>Separation buffer (mL)</th>
<th>Acrylamide (mL)</th>
<th>APS (μL)</th>
<th>TEMED (μL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.12 Stacking gel for 1.5mm case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ddH2O (mL)</th>
<th>Stacking buffer (mL)</th>
<th>Acrylamide (mL)</th>
<th>APS (μL)</th>
<th>TEMED (μL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Seperation buffer

1.5M Tris
0.4% SDS
pH 8.8

Stacking buffer

0.5M Tris
0.4% SDS
pH 6.8

5X SDS gel running buffer (1L)

15.17g Tris
72g glycine
5g SDS

5X SDS sample buffer

0.225M/Tris-Cl pH 6.8
50% glycerol
5% SDS
0.25% bromophenol
0.25M DTT
Comassie Blue staining solution
0.25g Comassie Blue
100mL of destaining solution

Destaining solution
500mL methanol
400mL ddH$_2$O
100mL acetic acid

**Western blot**

Transfer buffer
25mM Tris
192mM glycine
10% methanol

10X PBS-T
80g NaCl
15.395g Na$_2$HPO$_4$·12H$_2$O
2.905g KH$_2$PO$_4$
5mL Tween
2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Seed sterilization

Seeds were placed in clean 1.5mL eppendorf tubes. Seeds were rinsed with 800μl of 100% ethanol for 2 minutes. Afterwards, ethanol was removed and seeds were rinsed with 800μl of bleach solution for 2 minutes. The bleach solution was removed and seeds were washed with 900μl of sterile water. Finally the seeds were suspended in sterile 0.01% agar water. Seeds were plated on the appropriate MS agar plate with specific antibiotics listed in Table 2.5 (if required). The plated seeds were kept at 4°C for 2-3 days in the dark, and then transferred to the growth cabinet.

2.2.2 Bioluminescence

Seedlings were entrained for 7 days in the growth carbinet. On day 7, seedlings were transferred to black 96-well Microplates (OPTIPLATE TM-96F, PerkinElmer) containing 200μl of MS3 agar in each well. After seedlings were successfully transferred, 15μl of 5mM Luciferin was added to each well and plates were sealed with transparent film. Finally, each well was perforated using a needle. An additional day of entrainment in the growth cabinet was applied before plates were transferred to the TOPCOUNT® (PerkinElmer). The tri-chromatic LED panels were attached to the stackers which loads the plates. The LED panels can output red light, blue light and far red light individually or combination of these three light source. The room temperature was normally set at 22°C.

The luminescence signals were collected by the TOPCOUNT®. Data was visualized and analyzed by using TOPTEMP II macro and Biological Rhythms Analysis Software System 2.1.2 (BRASS) macro for EXCEL (Southern and Millar, 2005). For period analysis, period values weighted by relative amplitude error (RAE) were considered. RAE was the value of the amplitude error estimate divided by the value of the most probable amplitude estimate. This means that RAE is a measure of how well the actual data fit to the cosine curve generated by the least-squares method. Theoretically, RAE can range from 0 to 1. When RAE=0, the rhythm curve perfectly fits the cosine curve. When RAE=1, the curve is entirely arrhythmic.
For phase response curve (PRC) assay, plants were grown for 7 days under LD condition (12 hours in light and 12 hours in darkness) and then transferred on to TOPCOUNT® with red and blue light on for one full day before 3 hours 27°C heat pulses were applied every 3 hours to each 96-well plate one after another. The time of the first peak after heat pulse was picked and the time difference between pulsed and non-pulsed populations was calculated (Covington et al., 2001).

2.2.3 Plant DNA extraction

In order to extract DNA from Arabidopsis, firstly, the plant tissue was held in 1.5mL eppendorf tube with 100µl DNA extraction buffer (DEB) and ground at room temperature (RT). Then, an additional 400µl of DEB and 100µl chloroform was added, followed by a 5-minute vortex. The tubes were centrifuged for 10 minutes at the maximum speed (14,000rpm). A total of 350µl of supernatant was transferred to a new tube and mixed with an equal volume of isopropanol. Another 10-minute centrifuge and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was rinsed by 500µl 70% (v/v) ethanol. Ethanol was then removed after another 5-minute centrifuge (14,000rpm). Finally, the pellet was air-dried and resuspended in 100µl 1X TE buffer. Additionally, the concentration of DNA was measured by NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Peqlab).

2.2.4 Gene cloning

For the PCR amplification of the Hsp90.2 and the clock genes, the PCR mixture was prepared as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10x Pfu Ultra II buffer</td>
<td>5.0µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTP</td>
<td>5.0µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1.0µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer mix</td>
<td>2.0µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfu Ultral II DNA polymerase</td>
<td>1.0µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>36.0µl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>50.0µl</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primers used for PCR are listed in Table 2.9.
2.2.5 Genotyping

To genotype Arabidopsis, especially to test for single mutation sites, genomic DNA was extracted from individual seedlings. The following mixtures were prepared before for melt curve genotyping in the LightCycler 480 II (Roche):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.69μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Eva buffer (1μL)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBB</td>
<td>0.1μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNTPs</td>
<td>0.04μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoTaq</td>
<td>0.02μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eva green</td>
<td>0.15μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1 μL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PCR reaction mixture**  
(for 1 reaction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>3.6μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2x Eva buffer</td>
<td>5μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer (f)</td>
<td>0.2μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer (r)</td>
<td>0.2μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>1μL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10μL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program setting and data analysis followed the Manual of LightCycler 480 II (Roche).

2.2.6 Cloning with Gateway

All Gateway® empty vectors were propagated in *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) DB3.1 cells. *E. coli DH5α* cells were used to propagate transformed vectors. BP reaction was performed to recombine PCR products into pDONR201. The BP reaction was set up as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target DNA</td>
<td>0.5μl (~100fmol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDONR201</td>
<td>0.5μl (~100fmol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE buffer</td>
<td>3μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP Clonase Enzyme mix</td>
<td>1μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reaction was left at 25°C for at least 6 hours. After that, 1μl of this reaction mix was used to transform *E. coli DH5α* cells.
LR reaction was performed to transfer target genes from entry vectors to destination vectors. LR reaction was set up as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pDONR201</td>
<td>0.5μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination vector</td>
<td>0.5μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TE buffer</td>
<td>3μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR Clonase Enzyme mix</td>
<td>1μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5μl</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reaction was left at 25°C for at least 6 hours. Then, 1μl of LR reaction was used to transform *E. coli* DH5α cells.

### 2.2.7 E.coli transformation

For *E. coli* transformation, an aliquot (50μl) of chemical-competent *E. coli* cells was thawed on ice and 1μl of plasmid was added to the cells. After being left on ice for 30 minutes, the cells were heated at 42°C for 1-2 minutes. Then the cells were immediately moved onto ice and cooled for 2 minutes. After that, 500μl of LB media was added to the cells, and they were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, with gentle shaking. After incubation, 100μl of the cell suspension was plated on an appropriate selective LB agar plate. Plates were sealed with parafilm and incubated overnight at a 37°C.

### 2.2.8 Isolation of Plasmid DNA

A single colony growing on the selective plate was picked and inoculated in 10mL of selective LB media. The cells were cultured at 37°C for approximately 16 hours. Then the cells were collected by centrifuging at 4000rpm for 10 minutes. Plasmid was extracted by using the Qiaprep® Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen). Finally, DNA concentration was measured by NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Peqlab).

### 2.2.10 Isolation and purification of proteins

To express proteins in *E. Coli* BL21 (DE3) or *E. Coli* Rosetta, 10mL cells were pre-cultured in selective LB media overnight. From 10mL culture, 2mL of cell culture was added to 300mL fresh selective LB medium. The new culture was subsequently incubated at 37°C until its OD600 reached 0.8-1.0. IPTG was added to induce protein expression (1mmol IPTG for pET28b plasmid). Then, the cell culture was incubated at
18°C overnight. On the next day, cells were collected by centrifuging at 4000rpm for 10 minutes. To isolate the protein, 10mL lysis buffer together with 1 piece of proteinase inhibitor was added to the cells and these cells were broken by sonification. After that, particulate material was pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000rpm for 30 minutes. Meanwhile, 1mL Ni-NTA Agarose was rinsed by 10mL lysis buffer for 3 times in 15mL falcon tube. After centrifuging, the clarified supernatant was loaded onto the Ni-NTA Agarose beads. For maximal binding of the His-tagged protein, the tube was rotated for 2 hours at 4°C. The fully bound Ni-NTA Agarose beads were spun down at 500rpm for 2 minutes and the supernatant was removed. The Ni-NTA Agarose beads were twice rinsed by 10mL wash buffer and resuspended in 10mL wash buffer before loaded onto an empty column. The flow through wash buffer was discarded. In the end, the His-tagged protein was eluted by 10mL elution buffer.

To isolate and purify GST-tagged proteins (encoded by pGEX6p-1 plasmid), the *E. coli* culture was harvested, and the cells were washed with 1X PBS and centrifuged. The pellet was resuspended in 10mL of 1X PBS. Afterwards, 100μl of lysozyme (100 mg/mL) was added, followed by a 15-minute incubation on ice. One tablet of proteinase inhibitor was added and the cells were sonicated. After sonication, 1% of Triton X-100/PBS was added. This mixture was centrifuged at 10000rpm at 4°C for 20 minutes after rocked at 4°C for 30 minutes. During the centrifuge, 100μl of glutathione sepharose 4B resin was prepared and equilibrated with 1X PBS three times. After centrifuge, the clarified supernatant was rocked with pre-equilibrated resin at 4°C for 2 hours. The resin was collected by centrifuging at 1500rpm for 5 minutes and washed by 1X PBS. In the end, the GST-tagged protein was eluted with 5mM, 10mM, or 20mM glutathione solutions.

### 2.2.11 In vitro protein binding assay

To identify if proteins interacted with each other *in vitro*, 2μg His-tagged protein were mixed with 2μg GST-tagged protein, binding buffer and Ni-NTA agarose, and then was incubated at 4°C for 2 hours. The Ni-NTA agarose was spun down at 8000rpm at 4°C and washed with 400μl of binding buffer. This was repeated for 4 times. In the end, 50μl 1X SDS sample buffer was added and boiled at 95°C for 5 minutes. This sample was ready for SDS-PAGE.
2.2.12 Western blot

To transfer proteins onto Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore), the membrane was activated by rinsing with 100% methanol. Protein transferring was done with Mini-PROTEAN® Tetra System (Bio-rad). The cassette including protein gel, membrane and paper was assembled and inserted into the tank filled with transfer buffer. For transferring, constant voltage was set at 100V for 1mm gel.

After transferring, the membrane was immediately incubated in 5% milk/PBS-T for 30 minutes. After briefly washed with 1X PBS-T, the membrane was incubated with primary antibody in 5mL 1X PBS-T at 4°C overnight. The membrane was washed with 1X PBS-T for 4 times before incubated with secondary antibody in 1X PBS-T for 2 hours. In the end, after washed for 4 times, to visualize the protein, the membrane was incubated with solution A and solution B (GE Healthcare) in 1X PBS-T for 5 minutes before developments in the dark room.

2.2.13 Agrobacterium transformation

*Agrobacterium tumefaciens* (*Agrobacterium*) strain ABI (Schomburg et al., 2001) was used. An aliquot (50μl) of electrocompetent cells was thawed on ice. Then, 1μl of plasmid and 80μl of sterile ddH$_2$O were added to the cells. The diluted cells were transferred to an electroporation cuvette for electroporation. After electroporation, cells were immediately mixed with 900μl of LB media and transferred to 1.5mL eppendorf tube. After incubated for 2 hours at 28°C, 100μl of the cell culture was plated on selective YEBS agar plate. Plates were sealed with parafilm and incubated at 28°C for 2 days to allow for colony growth (Weigel and Jurgens, 2002).

2.2.14 Tobacco infiltration

Agrobacterium cells were cultured in 100mL selective YEBS media for 2-3 days at 28°C at 250rpm. Before infiltration, the cell cultures were centrifuged at 4000rpm for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was discarded. Pellets were resuspended in infiltration buffer. Cell density was determined by measuring OD600. The resuspended cells were diluted with infiltration buffer to OD 1.0. Then, 1μl of 1M acetosyringone was added and the cultures were left in the darkness at room temperature for 3 hours. Appropriate bacterial strains were mixed with the
Agrobacterium strain P19 harboring the expression vector (Voinnet et al., 2003). Bacterial mixtures were infiltrated into *N. bentamiana* leaves with a 1mL syringe. Plants were watered several hours before the infiltration. After infiltration, plants were kept in the greenhouse for 3 days before microscope observation or sample collection.

### 2.2.15 Co-immunoprecipitation

The deep-frozen infiltrated tobacco leave tissue was ground with TissueLyser (Retsch MM301) for 1 minute at frequency of 1/30s. Then, 1mL of IP buffer was added before centrifuging at 14,000rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C. Afterwards, the supernatant were loaded onto pre-equilibrated GFP trap beads and incubated at 4°C for 2.5 hours on an orbital shaker. After incubation, the GFP trap beads were washed with 1mL washing buffer. This was repeated for 4 times. In the end, the buffer was removed and 50μl of 2X SDS sample buffer was added, followed by a boiling at 95 °C for 5 minutes.

### 2.2.16 RNA extraction

Arabidopsis seedlings were grown for 7 days. Around 100 mg seedlings was harvested and transferred to a 1.5mL eppendorf tube together with two metal beads. Immediately the tube was frozen in liquid nitrogen. To grind the harvested samples, tubes containing samples were loaded onto TissueLyser (Retsch MM301). The grinding took 30 seconds for Arabidopsis tissue. During the grinding process, tissue sample was kept frozen. RNeasy® Plant Mini Kit was used to extract RNA. In the end, the RNA was resuspended in 80μl RNase-free water.

Before performing DNA digestion, resuspended RNA was centrifuged at 14,000rpm at 4°C for 30 minutes. Then, 70μl of the supernatant was transferred to a fresh tube. To do this, 2μl DNase, 1μl RNase inhibitor and 8μl DNase recombinant buffer were added. This was incubated at 37°C for 2 hours.

Subsequently, RNA was precipitated by adding 8μl 3M NaAc (pH 5.2) and 160μl 100% ethanol. The precipitation lasted overnight at -20°C. RNA was collected by centrifuging at 14000rpm at 4°C for 1 hour. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed three times with 100% ethanol. In the end, the pellet was resuspended in 32μl RNase-free water. From this 32μl RNA, 1μl was used to
measure the RNA concentration by using NanoDrop 1000 (Peqlab) and 1μl was used as template to check for genomic DNA contamination.

2.2.17 Reverse transcription

cDNA was generated by RNA reverse transcription. Before reverse transcription, RNA concentration was measured and 3μg RNA was taken for reverse transcription. Reverse transcription was performed, according to cDNA synthesis protocol for Superscript® II RT (Invitrogen).

2.2.18 qRT-PCR

Enrichment of DNA sequences was measured by qRT-PCR. Primers were designed using Primer3Plus (Untergasser et al., 2007) to obtain amplicon sizes that ranged from 150-200bp (Table 2.5). qRT-PCR was performed with iQ™ SYBR® Green supermix (Bio-rad) on the LightCycler 480 II (Roche).

2.2.19 Confocal imaging

For all microscopy experiments, a Zeiss LSM 780 confocal laser scanning microscope and Zeiss ZEN 2011 Software was used. N. benthamiana leaf excisions and A. thaliana seedlings were submerged in water. The spectral settings were as follows: for GFP, excitation 488 nm and emission 505-580nm; for mCherry RFP, excitation 587nm and emission spectra 605-700nm.
Chapter 3 Hsp90 contributes to the clock

Some of the results in this chapter represent collaborative work:
Koumis Philippou performed Topcount assay in Figure 3.1
Amanda Davis performed Topcount assay in Figure 3.2
Amanda Davis analyzed the data of phase response curve in Figure 3.4.
## 3.1 Introduction

Recent studies showed that Hsp90 is involved in the regulation of the clock. Inhibition of cytoplasmic Hsp90 by Hsp90-specific inhibitor GDA and RNAi-mediated depletion results in a long period phenotype (Kim et al., 2011). Hsp90 is a heat-induced protein chaperon. As such, I tested if Hsp90 affects the clock phenotype under temperature conditions.

At the molecular level, the clock component ZTL was proposed to be the client of Hsp90. GI was demonstrated to be linked with Hsp90 in the posttranslational regulation of ZTL (Kim et al., 2011). However, my data showed that GDA lengthened the period of ztl mutant, which indicated that ZTL may be the only target of Hsp90. Thus, I tested other clock genes to reveal the potential targets of Hsp90.

In this chapter, the phase response assay revealed that Hsp90.2 is involved in the clock regulation pathway before dawn. CCA1, LHY, PRR7, PRR9, GI, and ELF3 are all activated and highly expressed within this time window. As previously shown, ELF3, PRR7, and PRR9 are key components in temperature entrainment (Salome and McClung, 2005; Salome et al., 2010) (McWatters et al., 2000; Thines and Harmon, 2010). The morning clock loop (CCA1, LHY, PRR7, and PRR9) and GI were identified to be involved in the temperature-compensation mechanism (Salome et al., 2010) (Gould et al., 2006). Therefore, I examined these potential targets by applying GDA to the clock mutants of Arabidopsis.

Core clock components CCA1 and LHY are highly likely to be targets of Hsp90.2, as the clock period of their mutants were not influenced by GDA. However, I confirmed that CCA1 and LHY are not the direct targets of Hsp90.2. As PRR7 and PRR9 are transcription repressors of CCA1 and LHY (Nakamichi et al., 2010; Nakamichi et al., 2005), therefore, I tried to identify if CCA1 and LHY are indirect targets of Hsp90.2 through PRR7 and PRR9.

Finally, PRR7 and PRR9 were proved to be involved in the Hsp90.2 regulation pathway in this chapter, but they were not the direct targeted by Hsp90.2. However, ELF3 was demonstrated to repress transcription of PRR9 (Eva, 2012). Therefore, I tested if ELF3 has a direct interaction with Hsp90.2.
3.2 Results

3.2.1 Hsp90 is involved in the clock regulation pathway

![Figure 3.1](image)

Figure 3.1 The hsp90.2-3 mutation resulted in a more pronounced long-period phenotype under both LD and WC conditions.

(A) Bioluminescence of CCR2:LUC under LD condition. (B) Bioluminescence of CCR2:LUC under WC condition. (C) Period length of CCR2:LUC under LD condition. Ws LD, 25.68±0.09h; hsp90.2-3, 26.34±0.08h. (D) Period length of CCR2:LUC under WC condition. Ws LD, 25.74±0.08h; hsp90.2-3, 26.56±0.10h. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48. LD = light-dark. WC = warm-cool.

To test if Hsp90 contributes to oscillator behavior, the hsp90.2 known alleles were analyzed (Hubert et al., 2009). The hsp90.2-3 was one of these mutants and was first used to test Hsp90 function on clock activity. After (light-dark) LD entrainment condition, the hsp90.2-3 mutant had a period of 26.34h, slightly longer than Ws wild type, whose period length was 25.68h (Figure 3.1A, C). More pronounced than LD condition, under WC condition, the hsp90.2-3 mutant achieved a 26.56h daily cycle, longer than that 25.74h of Ws wild type (Figure 3.1B, D). Therefore, the period phenotype difference under both conditions suggested that Hsp90 could influence plant circadian clock.
Figure 3.2 GDA lengthened circadian period and reduced the circadian robustness under both LD and WC conditions.

(A) Bioluminescence of CCR2:LUC under LD condition. (B) Bioluminescence of CCR2:LUC under WC condition. (C) Period length of CCR2:LUC under LD and WC conditions. Ws LD DMSO, 26.10±0.13h; Ws LD GDA, 27.30±0.28h; Ws WC DMSO, 26.27±0.09h; Ws WC GDA, 27.23±0.23h. (D) Robustness of CCR2:LUC under LD and WC conditions. Ws LD DMSO, 4013.97±288.48cps; Ws LD GDA, 870.58±64.87cps; Ws WC DMSO, 5152.67±314.95cps; Ws WC GDA, 878.26±64.87cps. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48. LD = light-dark. WC = warm-cool.

Hsp90 has several isoforms in Arabidopsis (L.H. Pearl, 2006). To mimic the total hsp90 loss-of-function mutation, Ws wild-type seedlings were treated with 2µM GDA. The optimal concentration (2µM) of GDA was determined by dose-response assay. After either photic or thermal entrainment, GDA triggered a longer period, which was similar to hsp90.2-3 mutation (Figure 3.2A, B, C). Additionally, GDA dampened the bioluminescence signals and reduced robustness of circadian clock (Figure 3.2A, B, D). These pharmacological data by GDA phenocopied the hsp90.2-3 effect and provided confirmation that Hsp90 is involved in clock regulation.
To ensure that the phenotype caused by hsp90 loss-of-function did not specifically exist in Ws background with CCR2:LUC promoter. Bioluminescence assays were repeated in Col background with TOC1:LUC promoter. The results are consistent with those in Ws background (Figure 3.3). These replicates in Col background showed that Hsp90 broadly existed in Arabidopsis clock regulation system.

Figure 3.3 GDA lengthens circadian period and reduced the circadian robustness in Col background under both LD and WC conditions.
(A) Bioluminescence of TOC1:LUC under LD condition. (B) Bioluminescence of TOC1:LUC under WC condition. (C) Period length of TOC1:LUC under LD and WC conditions. Col LD DMSO, 25.37±0.15h; Col LD GDA, 26.34±0.16h; Col WC DMSO, 25.71±0.21h; Col WC GDA, 27.36±0.40h. (D) Robustness of TOC1:LUC under LD and WC conditions. Col LD DMSO, 196.64±20.19cps; Col LD GDA, 52.91±5.03cps; Col WC DMSO, 137.24±13.07cps; Col WC GDA, 37.13±2.80cps. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48. LD = light-dark. WC = warm-cool.
Figure 3.4 Ws wild type and hsp90.2-3 mutant responded to heat shock differently at late night and early morning.
The phases were shifted due to the heat shock (27°C). The X axis shows different circadian time points, at which the plant phases data were collected. The time point “0” is the time when light is on. The Y axis is the phase differences between the heat-shocked plants and the negative control, the non-heat-shocked plants. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48.

I performed the phase response assay to identify how Hsp90 contributes to the temperature regulation on the clock at different times. The responses to heat shock were different between hsp90.2-3 and Ws wild type before dawn. At ZT(-3), the Ws wild type had an 8h phase shift whereas the hsp90.2-3 mutant had a 6h phase shift, 2h lower than the Ws wild type. It was similar at ZT0 when the light was just on. There was a 2h difference between hsp90.2-3 and the wild type. During the morning time, at ZT3 and ZT6, there was almost no significant difference between hsp90.2-3 and Ws wild type (Figure 3.4). In conclusion, the pre-dawn phase shifts indicate that Hsp90 assists circadian clock to keep stable before dawn.
3.2.2 Hsp90 affects circadian clock through morning clock genes

Figure 3.5 Morning-clock-gene mutants were not GDA sensitive.

(A) The blue and red bars represent period length of CCR2:LUC under WC condition with DMSO treatment and with GDA treatment, respectively. Ws DMSO, 26.22±0.11h; Ws GDA, 28.00±0.29h; CCA1 DMSO, 25.73±0.11h; CCA1 GDA, 25.97±0.15h; lhy DMSO, 24.13±0.07h; lhy GDA, 24.96±0.13h; toc1 DMSO, 22.27±0.11h; toc1 GDA, 22.10±0.18h; gi DMSO, 24.51±0.19h; gi GDA, 25.87±0.16h; CCA1 lhy DMSO, 20.00±0.30h; CCA1 lhy GDA, 19.50±0.34h. (B) The purple bars represent the difference of period length between DMSO-treated seedlings and GDA treated seedlings. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48.

I assumed that Hsp90, as a chaperone, affects the clock by regulating known clock components, including CCA1, LHY, TOC1, and GI. As shown in Figure 3.3 and 3.4, by inhibiting Hsp90, GDA phenocopied the Hsp90.2 loss-of-function mutant. Applying GDA to clock mutants could identify if the effect of this Hsp90 inhibition on circadian clock requires CCA1, LHY, TOC1, or GI. If the effect on circadian clock is dependent on these clock components, their mutants should not show a lengthened period. For the cca1, lhy, toc1, gi and cca1 lhy mutants, the period were all shorter than Ws wild type (Figure 3.5A). The GDA-treated Ws wild-type seedlings showed a longer period, compared to non-treated seedlings. This GDA effect was also observed in the gi mutant. However, in the cca1 and toc1 mutants, GDA had almost no effect on period length. In the lhy mutant, the effect of GDA was weaker. Moreover, in the cca1 lhy double mutant, GDA even shortened the period length (Figure 3.5A, B). To conclude, as period length of the cca1, lhy, toc1 mutants were not affected by GDA. Taken together, CCA1, LHY, and TOC1 were identified genetically as potential transcriptional targets of Hsp90.
Figure 3.6 Accumulation of CCA1 and LHY were altered by GDA under WC condition. (A) and (B), accumulation of CCA1 and LHY are not affected by GDA under LD condition. (C) and (D), accumulation of CCA1 and LHY are altered by GDA under WC condition. Samples were collected every 2 hours from ZT20 to ZT12 next morning. Expression values are normalized to PP2A and are representative of three biological replicates. Error bars indicate SD.

As shown in the phase response assay, Hsp90 contributed to pre-dawn clock function (Figure 3.2). Furthermore, I identified that morning component CCA1 and LHY were genetically required by Hsp90. Therefore, I first checked gene expression of CCA1 and LHY under both LD and WC conditions, respectively. Under LD condition, accumulation of CCA1 and LHY were not affected by GDA (Figure 3.6A, B). However, under WC condition, GDA-treated seedlings have reduced accumulation of CCA1 and LHY at early morning and increased accumulation CCA1 and LHY after ZT4, compared to the non-treated seedlings. The accumulation curve turned “flatter” with “fatter” tails as the day progressed (Figure 3.6C, D). For CCA1, it no longer peaked at ZT0. Instead, accumulation of CCA1 maintained at a relatively high level from ZT0 to ZT6 (Figure 3.6C). For LHY accumulation, GDA resulted in the similar
effect as *CCA1* (Figure 3.6D). In conclusion, although GDA caused a longer period phenotype in Arabidopsis under both LD and WC condition, here the qRT-PCR results indicated that the way Hsp90 regulated the clock might be different. Under WC condition, Hsp90 profoundly regulated the accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY*.

![Figure 3.7](image)

**Figure 3.7 Accumulation of PRR7 and PRR9 were altered under WC condition.** (A) and (B) Accumulation of *PRR7* and *PRR9* are altered by GDA under WC condition. Samples were collected every 2 hours from ZT20 to ZT12 next morning. Expression values are normalized to *PP2A* and are representative of three biological replicates. Error bars indicate SD.

Hsp90 potentially regulated the morning clock components *CCA1* and *LHY*. PRR7 and PRR9 were proposed to inhibit transcription of *CCA1* and *LHY* by binding to their promoter regions (Farre EM, 2005). Hsp90 was likely to indirectly regulate transcript accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* through PRR7 and PRR9. Therefore, I tested if *PRR7* and *PRR9* were likely targets of Hsp90. Examining transcript accumulation as above, I first checked the wave-form of *PRR7* and *PRR9*. Similar to *CCA1* and *LHY*, accumulation of *PRR7* and *PRR9* were affected by GDA and both were reduced. Particularly, the transcription curve of *PRR9* was shifted and the peak value was reduced by more than half. Therefore, in addition to *CCA1* and *LHY*, transcript abundance of *PRR7* and *PRR9* were also regulated by Hsp90.
3.2.3 Hsp90 regulates transcription of *CCA1* and *LHY* through PRR9

Figure 3.8 Accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* are not altered in *prr9* mutants in the morning. (A) and (B) Accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* in *prr7* mutant are altered in the morning. (C) and (D) Accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* remain unchanged in *prr9* mutant in the morning. (E) and (F) Accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* in *prr7 prr9* mutant remain unchanged in the morning. Samples were collected every 2 hours from ZT0 to ZT12 next morning. Expression values were normalized to *PP2A* and are representative of three biological replicates. Error bars indicate SD.

As previously mentioned, it was proposed that gene accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* are inhibited by PRR7 and PRR9. Therefore, my hypothesis was that Hsp90 could affect transcription of *CCA1* and *LHY* through PRR7 and PRR9. To genetically
test this, I applied GDA to *prr7*, *prr9*, and *prr7 prr9* mutants and focused on gene transcription in the morning. In GDA-treated *prr7*, transcription levels of *CCA1* and *LHY* exceeded the non-treated ones after ZT4, which was similar to the Col wild type (Figure 3.8A, B). Accumulation of *LHY* diverged more significantly from ZT6 to ZT10 (Figure 3.8B). Without *PRR7*, transcription of *CCA1* and *LHY* are still sensitive to GDA, which indicated that *PRR7* was not the sole target of Hsp90. In GDA-treated *prr9*, transcription levels of *CCA1* and *LHY* remained the same as non-treated plants. This could be interpreted as PRR9 is a critical target of Hsp90, as GDA did not affect transcription of *CCA1* and *LHY* in the morning without PRR9 (Figure 3.8C, D). Not surprisingly, in *prr7 prr9*, there was no significant difference in transcription levels of *CCA1* and *LHY* in the morning, especially from ZT6 to ZT10 (Figure 3.8E, F). To summarize, the morning clock component PRR9 is a genetic target of Hsp90, whereas PRR7 could not be proved to be a target of Hsp90.

3.2.4 Clock period is related to transcription level of *CCA1* and *LHY*
Figure 3.9 Accumulation of CCA1 and LHY are positively related with the concentration of GDA applied.

(A) and (B), accumulation of CCA1 and LHY with GDA treatment at different concentrations (0.25μM, 0.5μM, 1μM and 2μM) at ZT6 (blue bar) and ZT8 (red bar), respectively. (C) and (D), averaged accumulation of CCA1 and LHY at different concentration. The replicate samples were treated with GDA at different concentrations (0.25μM, 0.5μM, 1μM and 2μM) for two days. Samples were collected at ZT6 and ZT8. Expression values were normalized to PP2A and are representative of three biological replicates. Error bars indicate SD.

As GDA lengthened period (Figure 3.3) and raised accumulation of CCA1 and LHY in the morning (Figure 3.6 C, D), my hypothesis was that period length was positively related to the accumulation of CCA1 and LHY in morning. Time points ZT6 and ZT8 were selected to monitor the effect of G DA at different concentrations (0.25μM, 0.5μM, 1μM, and 2μM), because as shown, GDA resulted in the most significant effect at ZT6 and ZT8 (Figure 3.6C, D). When the concentration of GDA gradually increased from 0 to 2μM, accumulation of CCA1 slightly increased at ZT6. However, at ZT8, accumulation of CCA1 steadily increased with the increasing concentration of GDA. Compared to the amount at 0μM (DMSO), which is 0.05, the accumulated CCA1 at 2μM reached 0.22, which is 4 times as much as that at 0μM (Figure 3.9A). To clarify the trend, I averaged the accumulation at ZT6 and ZT8. The accumulation of CCA1 was positively related with concentration of GDA (Figure 3.9C). Accumulation of LHY was similar to CCA1. Although there was an unexpected decrease at ZT8 (2μM), the averaged amount showed the trend that accumulation of LHY increased with the increasing concentration of GDA (Figure 3.9 B, D). In parallel, period length was measured at different concentrations of GDA treatment. For both Col LHY::LUC and GI::LUC, the periods became longer when concentration of GDA gradually increased (Figure 3.10A, B). In conclusion, period length was positively related to the transcription levels of CCA1 and LHY in the morning.
Figure 3.10 Period length increases with increasing concentration of GDA.

(A) Period length of Col *LHY:LUC* with GDA treatment at different concentrations. DMSO, 26.71±0.24h; 0.25μM, 27.03±0.19h; 0.5μM, 27.23±0.12h; 1μM, 27.35±0.18h; 2μM, 29.14±0.43h. (B) Period length of Col *Gi:LUC* with GDA treatment at different concentrations. DMSO, 26.93±0.13h; 0.25μM, 28.27±0.19h; 0.5μM, 28.23±0.27h; 1μM, 30.49±0.42h; 2μM, 30.04±0.35h. Error bars indicate SEM, n=24.
3.2.5 GDA reduces the amount of ELF3

![Figure 3.11 Protein amount of clock components after GDA treatment.](image)

Confocal microscopy of CCA1, LHY, PRR7, PRR9, and ELF3 in *N. benthamiana*. All proteins tagged with GFP protein were expressed under the 35s promoter. The confocal microscopy experiment was performed 3 days after *Agrobacterium* being infiltrated. The images were generated 3 hours after 10μM GDA was injected into tobacco leaves. The left lane shows GFP signals after DMSO was injected, which served as a negative control. The right lane shows the GFP signal after GDA was injected.

As previously shown, GDA affected the circadian clock through morning genes. Therefore, I measured the protein amount of CCA1, LHY, PRR7, and PRR9 before and after GDA treatment to see if any of these were potentially controlled at the
protein level by Hsp90 action. In infiltrated tobacco leaf cells after 3-hour GDA treatment. GFP signals of CCA1-GFP and LHY-GFP remained the same, compared to those without GDA treatment. This indicates that Hsp90 is unlikely to directly control the amount of CCA1 and LHY at the protein level. The qRT-PCR data suggested that GDA alters gene accumulation of CCA1 and LHY through PRR9 (Figure 3.8C-F). However, protein accumulation of PRR7 and PRR9 were also not affected by GDA (Figure 3.11). As such, the regulator of PRR9 and PRR7 could be a protein target.

As demonstrated, gene accumulation of PRR9 was altered by GDA (Figure 3.7B). I next focused on examining its transcriptional regulator ELF3. Since ELF3 binds to the PRR9 promoter to repress its expression (Eva et al., 2012), my hypothesis was that GDA altered gene accumulation of CCA1, LHY, and PRR9 through an ELF3 cascade. Therefore, I next examined if GDA affects the protein amount of ELF3. The image showed that after GDA was injected into tobacco leaves, the fluorescent signal of GFP-tagged ELF3 was significantly reduced, compared to the non-GDA treated cells. In conclusion, GDA reduces ELF3 protein, which implicates that Hsp90 activity in stabilizing ELF3 levels. This might result in the alteration of gene accumulation of PRR9, which subsequently result in alterations of gene accumulation of CCA1 and LHY.
3.2.6 ELF3 is co-localized with Hsp90.2
**Figure 3.12 ELF3 is co-localized with Hsp90.2.**

(A) Confocal microscopy of Hsp90.2-RFP versus PRR7-GFP, PRR9-GFP and ELF3-GFP in *N. benthamiana*. (B) Confocal microscopy of ELF3-RFP versus PRR9-GFP in *N. benthamiana*. All proteins were expressed under the 35S promoter. The images were generated 3 days after co-infiltration. From left to right in (A) and (B), they were RFP channel, GFP channel and GFP/RFP mixed channel.

As GDA is a specific inhibitor of Hsp90, the reduction of ELF3 after GDA treatment (Figure 3.11) suggested that ELF3 was likely to be a target of Hsp90. To identify if ELF3 and Hsp90.2 physically interact with each other, I co-expressed Hsp90.2 and ELF3. Meanwhile, I co-expressed PRR7 and PRR9, since it was shown that PRR9 is a potential target of Hsp90 (Figure 3.8). When Hsp90.2-RFP was co-expressed with GFP as a negative control, the fluorescent signal only existed in the cytoplasm and no signal was detected in the nucleus. When Hsp90.2-RFP was co-expressed with PRR7-GFP, Hsp90.2 was still in the cytoplasm while PRR7 was expressed only in the nucleus. This shows there is no overlap between Hsp90.2 and PRR7. When Hsp90.2-RFP was co-expressed with PRR9-GFP, Hsp90.2 appeared both in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus. PRR9 was highly focused in the center of the nucleus with relatively low accumulation around the outer ring. Interestingly, after co-expression, the Hsp90.2 was not homogeneously localized in the nucleus, but was focused in PRR9’s outer ring area, which indicates that there is an overlap in accumulation. When Hsp90.2-RFP was co-expressed with ELF3, both ELF3 and Hsp90.2 appear in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, which shows that ELF3 relocates some Hsp90.2 proteins into the nucleus. In both the nucleus and the cytoplasm, there was an exact overlapping between ELF3 and Hsp90.2 (Figure 3.12A).

To answer why Hsp90.2 appeared only in the outer ring area of PRR9 in the nucleus when Hsp90.2 and PRR9 were co-expressed, I co-expressed ELF3-RFP and PRR9-GFP. The results showed that ELF3 also appeared in the PRR9 outer ring area only. This is quite different from the single ELF3-RFP expression and PRR9-GFP co-expression with RFP, in which the RFP signals homogeneously existed in the nucleus (Figure 3.12B). According to Figure 3.12A and B, Hsp90.2 and ELF3 exactly overlapped with each other. In conclusion, ELF3 relocated the Hsp90.2 to the nucleus.
and had a co-localization with Hsp90.2, which indicated that there might be a physical interaction between ELF3 and Hsp90.2.

Figure 3.13 ELF3 can bind with Hsp90.2 in vivo.
The Co-immunoprecipitation assay showed that ELF3 could physically bind to Hsp90.2 in vivo. Agrobacteria carrying two target plasmids to express ELF3 and Hsp90.2 were injected into tobacco leaves. After three days, the leave sample was collected and proteins were extracted. The N-terminal GFP tagged ELF3 was pulled down by the GPF trap beads and the N-terminal N-TAP tagged Hsp90.2 was detected by western blot.

ELF3 was able to bind to Hsp90.2 in tobacco. In the first lane, the sample contained both N-TAP-tagged Hsp90.2 and GFP-tagged ELF3. When ELF3 was pulled down by GFP trap beads, Hsp90.2 was also pulled down together and could be detected by western blot. In the second lane, the sample did not contain Hsp90.2 protein. Therefore, although ELF3 was pulled down, there is no Hsp90.2 signal on the film. In the third lane, the sample lacked of ELF3 protein. However, Hsp90.2-GFP signals were detectable. The reason might be that the huge amount of Hsp90.2 in the sample could unspecifically bind to the GFP trap beads. Therefore, some GFP signals could be detected. In the fourth lane, neither ELF3 nor Hsp90.2 was included and there was no signal detected. To conclude, ELF3 and Hsp90.2 could physically interact with each other in vivo, indicating that ELF3 might be a direct target of Hsp90.
3.3 Discussion

In this chapter, I showed that Hsp90 was involved in the temperature-regulation of the Arabidopsis circadian clock. Hsp90 indirectly affected gene accumulation of CCA1 and LHY through PRR9, which resulted in the changing of clock period length. Moreover, Hsp90 could directly interact with ELF3, and this involves redirection of PRR9 protein as well. ELF3 were shown to be an upstream regulator of PRR9 (Herrero et al., 2012; Thines and Harmon, 2010). Therefore, Hsp90, one of the most important protein chaperons, might affect the Arabidopsis circadian clock sequentially through ELF3, PRR9, and CCA1/LHY.

The effect of Hsp90 on clock period length was examined under both light-dark and warm-cold entrainment conditions (Figure 3.1). Under both conditions, the hsp90.2-3 mutants showed a longer period than the Ws wild type, which suggested that Hsp90 was involved in both light- and temperature-regulation. However, the lengthening effect caused by hsp90.2-3 mutation was more significant when seedlings were entrained under warm-cold condition. Since the light and temperature pathway were partially independent, Hsp90 is likely not only involved in the common signaling pathway shared by light and temperature but also specifically involved in the independent temperature signaling pathway.

As a specific inhibitor of Hsp90, GDA treatment on the seedlings resulted in longer period phenotypes in both Ws and Col background (Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3). The lengthening effect of GDA was even stronger than the hsp90.2-3 mutation. Since Hsp90 has several isoforms in Arabidopsis, as long as the concentration was high enough, GDA results in ATP-binding defects in all Hsp90s, whereas hsp90.2-3 mutation only altered the ATP-binding site of Hsp90.2. Therefore, it was reasonable that GDA could strongly lengthen the period by inhibiting more Hsp90s. In addition, GDA could also dampen bioluminescence signals and the reduce robustness of circadian clock (Figure 3.2 and Figure 3.3). This might be due to the ATP-binding defects of Hsp90 (Whitesell et al., 1992). Furthermore, Col wild type was more sensitive to GDA, as the period differences between GDA-treated and non-GDA-treated seedlings were larger compared the differences in Ws wild type, which suggested that Col might be more sensitive to stress condition due to its sensitivity to
the defects of Hsp90. As a conclusion, it was again demonstrated that Hsp90 was involved in the thermal regulation of the clock.

The phase response curve assay revealed that Hsp90.2 influenced the clock response to heat shock stress before dawn. The differences in phase shift were only observed at ZT(-3) and ZT0, which suggested that Hsp90 could affect the circadian clock by interacting with the late-evening or early-morning clock components. It is consistent with previously published results, which showed that Hsp90 can influence the clock through three evening components TOC1, GI and ZTL (Kim et al., 2011). Therefore, I tested all the potential targets of Hsp90.

GDA resulted in different period phenotypes in the different clock mutants. The *cca1*, *lhy*, and *toc1* single mutants maintained a similar period length after GDA treatment. The period was even shorter for *cca1 lhy* double mutant (Figure 3.5), indicating that these three clock components were potential targets of Hsp90. Consistently, CCA1, LHY and TOC1 were previously identified to be involved in temperature regulation (Gould et al., 2006; Salome et al., 2010). In addition, the periods of both *elf3* and *gi* mutants were lengthened by GDA, indicating that *ELF3* and *GI* transcripts were not the targets of Hsp90. This does not preclude these as protein targets. However, previous findings showed that both ELF3 and GI are the key components in temperature regulation processes (Gould et al., 2006; Thines and Harmon, 2010). This point will be discussed later in the final chapter.

The gene accumulation patterns showed that as potential targets of Hsp90, the gene expression of *CCA1* and *LHY* were not affected by GDA under light-dark entrainment while their expression patterns were altered under warm-cold entrainment condition (Figure 3.6). This set of results suggested that in light-regulation pathway *CCA1* and *LHY* were not targets of Hsp90. In addition, the alteration in expression of *CCA1* and *LHY* indicates that *CCA1* and *LHY* are the indirect targets of Hsp90 in temperature-regulation pathway. Interestingly, the influence of Hsp90 on gene accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* was PRR9-dependent. In the *prr9*, the gene accumulation pattern was not affected by GDA (Figure 3.8). PRR9 was demonstrated to be one of the transcriptional repressor of *CCA1* and *LHY* (Nakamichi et al., 2010; Nakamichi et al., 2005). Therefore, PRR9 is proposed to be
one component in the Hsp90 regulation, downstream of Hsp90 and upstream of \textit{CCA1} and \textit{LHY}.

In Figure 3.9 and 3.10, I linked gene expression levels to period length. When the expression of \textit{CCA1} and \textit{LHY} increased at ZT6 and ZT8, the period lengths also increased gradually. Although expression of \textit{CCA1} and \textit{LHY} in GDA-treated plant was lower than non-treated at peak times (Figure 3.6), period was lengthened due to the relatively higher expression late in the morning. This indicates that period length was not determined by the peak expression amount of \textit{CCA1} and \textit{LHY}, whereas it was somehow determined by the width of the time window of expression above certain level. To conclude, Hsp90 lengthens period by raising expression in the morning and thus lengthening the effective-expression time window.

GDA reduced ELF3 protein amount, instead of \textit{CCA1}, \textit{LHY}, \textit{PRR7}, and \textit{PRR9} (Figure 3.11). In addition, Hsp90.2 co-localized with ELF3 in both nucleus and cytoplasm (Figure 3.12). Furthermore, \textit{in vivo} protein binding assay demonstrated that Hsp90.2 physically interacted with ELF3 (Figure 3.13). These results filled the gap between PRR9 and Hsp90.2. ELF3 was demonstrated to repress \textit{PRR9} by binding to its promoter (Herrero et al., 2012). Also, the increase of PRR9 and PRR7 by thermal induction was eliminated in the \textit{efl3-1} mutant (Thines and Harmon, 2010). Therefore, as the upstream regulator of PRR9, ELF3 was considered as the direct target of Hsp90, mediating the regulation of Hsp90 on the circadian clock. Further discussion would be in the final chapter.
Chapter 4  Allele specific actions of Hsp90.2 on the clock
4.1 Introduction

Alleles of Hsp90.2 beyond *hsp*90.2-3 were previously reported. These include *hsp*90.2-1 (G95E), *hsp*90.2-4 (S100F), *hsp*90.2-6 (A42T), *hsp*90.2-7 (A11T) and *hsp*90.2-8 (R337C). Here these alleles were examined for clock phenotypes.

Figure 4.1 Point mutations in Hsp90.2.

Hsp90 contains three domains: an N-terminal conserved ATP-binding domain, a middle domain, and a C-terminal dimerization domain. Six point mutations are included: *hsp*90.2-1 (G95E), *hsp*90.2-3 (D80N), *hsp*90.2-4 (S100F), *hsp*90.2-6 (A42T), *hsp*90.2-7 (A11T), and *hsp*90.2-8 (R337C).

*hsp*90.2-1 (G95E) is adjacent to residues making contact with ATP. The G95E change alters the local charge density (David A. Hubert, 2003). *hsp*90.2-3 (D80N) alters a residue previously shown to make multiple ATP contacts in the crystal structure of yeast Hsp90 (Prodromou et al., 1997). The *hsp*90.2-4 (S100F) is adjacent to residues making direct contact with ATP, resulting in the addition of a large hydrophobic side chain (David A. Hubert, 2003). *hsp*90.2-7 encodes an A11T change at the N-terminal strand of an Hsp90 protein. *hsp*90.2-8 encodes a R337C change at the middle domain physically adjacent to the ATPase domain in the closed conformation of Hsp90 dimer. The *hsp*90.2-8 mutation (R337C) exhibits a nearly full loss of ATPase activity (Figure 4.1).

To identify the influence of these *hsp*90.2 mutations on the clock, and subsequently reveal the different functions of Hsp90, I crossed the *hsp*90.2 mutants
with GI::LUC-containing Col-0 and screened the homozygous lines for periodicity analysis. Differentiated phenotypes were detected.
4.2 Results

4.2.1 Light-entrained Hsp90.2 mutants

Figure 4.2 *hsp90.2* mutations resulted in different period phenotypes under LD condition.

(A) Bioluminescence of *Gl:LUC* in *hsp90.2*-1 mutant; (B) Bioluminescence of *Gl:LUC* in *hsp90.2*-4 mutant; (C) Bioluminescence of *Gl:LUC* in *hsp90.2*-6 mutant; (D) Bioluminescence of *Gl:LUC* in *hsp90.2*-7 mutant; (E) Bioluminescence of *Gl:LUC* in *hsp90.2*-8 mutant. (F) Period length of Col, *hsp90.2*-4, *hsp90.2*-6, *hsp90.2*-7 and *hsp90.2*-8. Col, 27.38±0.11h; *hsp90.2*-4, 27.41±0.30h; *hsp90.2*-6, 28.26±0.11h; *hsp90.2*-7, 27.63±0.15h; *hsp90.2*-8, 27.25±0.23h. The blue curve in (A)-(E) is Col *Gl:LUC*. All lines were entrained under LD condition. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48. LD = light-dark.
To identify the effect on the circadian clock resulting from different mutations in the Hsp90.2 protein, I crossed the respective \textit{hsp90.2} alleles to Col \textit{GI:LUC} plants and screened the homozygous lines to measure their period length after entrainment. First, I phenotyped these plants under light-dark entrainment conditions. The \textit{hsp90.2-1} bioluminescence signal was significantly lower. Perhaps the luciferase reporter was silenced. However, the original data showed that the bioluminescence signal was at normal strength before the free-running period started. This indicated that the low signal strength was conditional (Figure 4.2A). A shorter period length was observed in the rhythmic curve for \textit{hsp90.2-4} (Figure 4.1B). However, the period length remained the same as Col wild type (Figure 4.2F). For \textit{hsp90.2-6}, period length was significantly longer than that of Col wild type (Figure 4.2C, F). For \textit{hsp90.2-7}, the period was slightly longer compared to the wild type (Figure 4.2D, F). For \textit{hsp90.2-8}, the period was slightly shorter than wild type, which is more obvious in the rhythmic curve chart (Figure 4.2 E, F). In conclusion, beside \textit{hsp90.2-1}, which had a dampened bioluminescence signal, another two alleles, \textit{hsp90.2-4} and \textit{hsp90.2-8}, had no significant period difference compared to wild type. In contrast, \textit{hsp90.2-6} and \textit{hsp90.2-7}, had a longer period than the wild type.
4.2.2 Temperature-entrained Hsp90.2 mutants

Figure 4.3 hsp90.2 mutations resulted in different period phenotypes under WC condition. (A) Bioluminescence of Gl:LUC in hsp90.2-1 mutant; (B) Bioluminescence of Gl:LUC in hsp90.2-4 mutant; (C) Bioluminescence of Gl:LUC in hsp90.2-6 mutant; (D) Bioluminescence of Gl:LUC in hsp90.2-7 mutant; (E) Bioluminescence of Gl:LUC in hsp90.2-8 mutant. (F) Period length of Col, hsp90.2-4, hsp90.2-6, hsp90.2-7 and hsp90.2-8. Col, 27.52±0.19h; hsp90.2-4, 26.74±0.31h; hsp90.2-6, 27.50±0.10h; hsp90.2-7, 27.19±0.17h; hsp90.2-8, 26.71±0.21h. The blue curve in (A)-(E) is Col Gl:LUC. All lines were entrained under WC condition. Error bars indicate SEM, n=48. WC = warm-cool.
Hsp90 is associated to the temperature signaling pathway, as demonstrated in Chapter 3. I thereby measured the additional alleles period length under warm-cold entrainment condition. As in the light-dark condition, *hsp90.2-1* has a dampened bioluminescence signal was dampened (Figure 4.3A). For *hsp90.2-4*, the period was significantly shortened (Figure 4.3B, F). *hsp90.2-6* had no period change compared to Col wild type (Figure 4.3C, F). For *hsp90.2-7*, according to the bar chart, the period was slightly shortened compared to the Col wild type (Figure 4.3D, F). The period of *hsp90.2-8* was significantly shortened, as was *hsp90.2-4* (Figure 4.3E, F). In conclusion, *hsp90.2-1* again had a dampened bioluminescence signal. *hsp90.2-4* and *hsp90.2-8* had a much shorter period compared to the Col wild type. *hsp90.2-7* had a slightly shorter period phenotype, while the period length of *hsp90.2-6* remained the same as the Col wild type.
4.3 Discussion

In this chapter, I identified that the examined alleles of \textit{hsp90.2} resulted in different period phenotypes. After light-dark entrainment, \textit{hsp90.2-6} and \textit{hsp90.2-7} mutations resulted in a longer period (Figure 4.2), while under warm-cold condition, \textit{hsp90.2-4} and \textit{hsp90.2-8} mutations resulted in a shorter-period phenotype (Figure 4.3). Therefore, the diverged period phenotype suggested that Hsp90 affects the circadian clock in various ways.

Including previously mentioned \textit{hsp90.2-3} (D80N) in chapter 3, most of the \textit{hsp90.2} mutation I studied are located in the N-terminal ATP-binding domain (Figure 4.1), with the exception of \textit{hsp90.2-8}, which was located in the middle domain. Interestingly, according to both the period phenotypes and the location sites of the mutations, \textit{hsp90.2} could be divided into two groups. The first three mutations located at the beginning of N-terminal site, including \textit{hsp90.2-7} (A11T), \textit{hsp90.2-6} (A42T) and \textit{hsp90.2-3}(D80N) caused a longer period phenotype. However, \textit{hsp90.2-4} (S100F) and \textit{hsp90.2-8} (R337C) were the last two mutations, causing a shorter period phenotype.

Interestingly, although the mutations similarly cause the reduction of ATPase activity, they resulted in different clock phenotypes. Both \textit{hsp90.2-7} (A11T) and \textit{hsp90.2-8} (R337C) destabilize the lid-closed conformation. As previously demonstrated, the lid-closed conformation is important for ATPase activity of Hsp90 (Ali et al., 2006). Moreover, the two mutations reduce Hsp90-ND dimer formation \textit{in vitro} (Hubert et al., 2009). However, the two mutations resulted in different period phenotypes. Furthermore, as shown in Chapter 3, GDA also resulted in a longer period, the same as the \textit{hsp90.2-3}, \textit{hsp90.2-6}, and \textit{hsp90.2-7} while \textit{hsp90.2-4} and \textit{hsp90.2-8} resulted in shorter periods. Therefore, in addition to reducing ATPase activity, \textit{hsp90.2-4} and \textit{hsp90.2-8} should have other functions in clock regulation.

One possible interpretation is that \textit{hsp90.2-8} causes defects in substrate binding. Hsp90 can assist the folding, stabilization and activation of various substrate proteins, including kinases and transcription factors involved in signal transduction and regulatory processes (Jackson et al., 2004; Krukenberg et al., 2011; Milioni and Hatzopoulos, 1997; Pratt et al., 2004). Importantly, the middle domain of Hsp90 plays
a key role in binding to substrate (Jackson et al., 2004). The \textit{hsp90.2-8} mutation may alter the structure of the protein binding site. Thus, this alteration may affect the folding or stabilization of clock transcription factors, such as CCA1, LHY, and ELF3. Similarly, the \textit{hsp90.2-4} mutation site might physically adjacent to the binding sites of the substrate proteins. The additional hydrophobic side chain may interfere the binding of substrate proteins.

Since \textit{hsp90.2-4} and \textit{hsp90.2-8} resulted in shorter periods, instead of the longer period phenotype of \textit{hsp90.2-x}, which was thought to be caused by the reduction of ATPase activity, the proposed defects of substrate protein binding should be more dominant. The reason could be that Hsp90.2 is specifically recruited by some transcription factors involved in clock regulation while reduced ATPase activity can be made up by other Hsp90s.

In conclusion, Hsp90 may be involved in the regulation of the circadian clock in at least two ways. One is possible to be ATP-dependent, as once the ATPase activity of Hsp90 was reduced, the clock period was lengthened. The other might be directly binding to its client proteins to assist their folding, stabilization, and activation. This could relate to the short period effects after thermal entrainment.
5.1 Final discussion

5.1.1 ELF3 and GI collaborate in thermal signal input pathway

I identified that the hsp90.2-3 mutant and GDA-treated seedlings showed a longer period phenotype (Figure 3.1 and 3.2). I further identified ELF3 is one client of Hsp90. Once Hsp90.2 in the cell was inhibited by GDA, the amount of ELF3 was reduced (Figure 3.11, 3.12, and 3.13). Transcription levels of PRR7 and PRR9 were not significantly elevated by GDA treatment (Figure 3.7). However, it was demonstrated that ELF3 is the transcription repressor of PRR9. In the elf3 mutant, the gene accumulation level of PRR9 was elevated. Meanwhile, the period of weak alleles of elf3 was shortened (Kolmos et al., 2011). In addition, the gi mutant was still influenced by GDA. However, it has been proposed that Hsp90 influences the clock through ZTL, a downstream protein regulated by GI. Hsp90 and GI are tightly connected in the ZTL-stabilization, as the low levels of ZTL in gi mutant is not significantly reduced by GDA, which suggests that GDA should not result in a longer period in the gi mutant (Kim et al., 2007).

To explain these conflicts, I propose that both ELF3 and GI are involved in the temperature input pathway. These two components may be involved in two partially independent pathways. ELF3 and GI influence transcription of CCA1 and LHY in opposite ways. ELF3 represses the transcription of PRR9 whereas PRR9 represses the transcription of CCA1 and LHY (Herrero et al., 2012; Nakamichi et al., 2005). Therefore, in theory, ELF3 positively regulates the transcription of CCA1 and LHY. However, GI was demonstrated to positively regulate the transcription of CCA1 and LHY. The gi mutations result in a reduction in the expression of CCA1 and LHY (Fowler et al., 1999). Meanwhile, the gi mutants cause a short-period phenotype (Park et al., 1999). Interestingly, the nighttime repressor ELF3-ELF4-LUX negatively regulates the transcription of GI (Mizuno et al., 2014). Thus, the accumulation level of CCA1 and LHY may reach the balance between the regulations of ELF3 and GI. In conclusion, Hsp90 influences the circadian clock through both ELF3 and GI, and ELF3 and GI are involved in partially independent pathways.
5.1.2 PRR9 and CCA1 may serve as stress indicators

Under normal conditions, Hsps are mainly located in the cytoplasm. However, under stress conditions, Hsps rapidly transfer to the nucleus (Horwitz, 1992; Lindquist and Craig, 1988). When HS90.2 was expressed alone in tobacco cells, it was found in the cytoplasm. However, Hsp90.2 was co-expressed with 35s::PRR9, some Hsp90.2 transferred to the nucleus (Figure 3.12A), which suggested that accumulation of PRR9 may be recognized as a signal of stress.

The gene accumulation of PRR9 was elevated in warm conditions (Mizuno et al., 2014). Considering this point, it is reasonable to match the expression of PRR9 to the daily cycle. Normally, temperature increases from early morning to the middle of the day. Meanwhile, expression of PRR9 gradually increases in the morning and reaches its peak level in the middle of the day. The oscillation of PRR9 accumulation perfectly matches the daily rhythmic changes of temperature. The average accumulation level of PRR9 may match the seasonal changes, as in summer the average level of PRR9 would be higher than that in winter. Therefore, my hypothesis is that PRR9 could serve as a “thermometer” which tells the plant the temperature. Overexpression of PRR9 may mislead the plant in sensing the actual temperature, simulating a heat stress and triggering stress responses, which is like a “fever”.


Figure 5.1 CCA1-GFP is co-expressed with Hsp90.2-RFP in *N. benthamiana*.
When CCA1 was highly expressed in the nucleus, Hsp90.2 transferred into nucleus but is not completely co-localized with CCA1. The green channel is GFP tagged CCA1. The red channel is RFP tagged Hsp90.2

CCA1 may be also recognized as another stress indicator. Hsp90.2 transferred to the nucleus when CCA1 was highly expressed (Figure 5.1). It appears that CCA1 was involved in the biotic stress regulation pathway. When I injected transformed *Agrobacterium* with several clock constructs into tobacco leaves, only the *Agrobacterium* containing 35s::CCA1 resulted in a severe infection, which might indicate that CCA1 is involved in the plant immune system. However, it was previously demonstrated that CCA1 controls the expression of defense genes and timing of the immune response. The *cca1* mutant showed compromised resistance whereas the CCA1-overexpression line showed enhanced resistance (Wang et al., 2011). This is completely opposite to my observation. One explanation is that the exogenous CCA1 strongly competes with native CCA1 in tobacco, which heavily interfere with the CCA1 immune regulation pathway, resulting in higher susceptibility
to pathogens. If considering the low expression level of *CCA1* at higher temperature, there should be a “clock-temperature-immune triangle” in *Arabidopsis*.

### 5.1.3 Temperature alters the functions of evening complex ELF3-ELF4-LUX

CCA1, LHY, PRR7, PRR9, and GI respond to a temperature upshift only during the dark period. The transcription of these genes were regulated by the evening complex (EC) night repressor ELF3-ELF4-LUX. A warmer temperature inhibits EC function, whereas a cooler temperature stimulates its function (Mizuno et al., 2014).

It is still unclear whether DNA-binding of EC to the target promoters is inhibited by a warm temperature or a warm temperature inhibits the repressor ability of EC. Considering the role of Hsp90, it can either regulate the protein stability of ELF3 or correct formation of EC. When Hsp90 is not sufficient to stabilize the proteins, the ability of EC may be inhibited under a warm condition.

### 5.1.4 Hypothesis on CCA1/LHY-PRR7/PRR9 self-balancing loop

CCA1/LHY activates transcription of *PRR7/PRR9* whereas PRR7 inhibits transcription of *CCA1/LHY* (Nakamichi et al., 2005). When the accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* were reduced from ZT20 to ZT2 by GDA, the accumulation of *PRR7* and *PRR9* also increased at a slower pace (Figure 3.6 and 3.7). After ZT4, the lower accumulation of PRR7 and PRR9 in GDA-treated seedlings did not inhibit *CCA1* and *LHY* as much as in the non-treated seedlings. Therefore, the accumulation of *CCA1* and *LHY* became relatively higher after ZT4. Meanwhile, expression of *PRR7* and *PRR9* after ZT10 was also elevated, which might be due to the higher amount of CCA1 and LHY. It was noted that the expression peaks of CCA1/LHY and PRR7/PRR9 were all shifted (Figure 3.6 and 3.7).

As mentioned in the discussion part of Chapter three, the effective expression window of *CCA1/LHY* was widened by GDA, which may explain the long period phenotype. In fact, less active Hsp90 may make the clock more sensitive to temperature. GDA may degrade clock-related components at 22°C as fast as that at 30°C, and thus switched the seedlings into a “summer state”. Based on this hypothesis, the wider effective expression window of *CCA1/LHY* matches the long-day conditions in summer. In summer conditions, temperature typically reaches its
peak around 2 p.m., which is around 8 hours after dawn. This point matches the shifted expression pattern of \textit{PRR7/PRR9}.

In summary, my hypothesis is that the CCA1/LHY-PRR7/PRR9 morning loop model may tell us how the plant anticipates the daily rhythmic changes in different seasons.

\textbf{5.1.5 three-layer clock model}

So far, developed mathematical models consist of the core loop, the morning loop and the evening loop. However, one question remains to be answered: which component contributes more to the clock? Here I propose a modified model, in which the clock components are classified into three hierarchies.

The first layer (inner layer) contains three core components: CCA1, LHY, and TOC1 (Figure 5.2). These three components define the “clock”. These three genes are notably crucial, as in the \textit{cca1 lhy toc1} triple mutant, the clock stays arrhythmic (Green and Tobin, 1999; Mizoguchi et al., 2002). Moreover, overexpression of either \textit{CCA1} or \textit{LHY} causes arrhythmicity of the clock (Schaffer \textit{et al.}, 1998; Wang and Tobin, 1998). The second layer (middle layer) contains the “adjusters” (Figure 5.2). The signal receivers are the outputs of clock. They form interlocked loops with core components, which reciprocally regulate each other. Once they receive the signals from the third layer (outer layer), their own expression or function are altered. They form interlocked loops with core clock components, thus the core clock is finely adjusted. PRR7 and PRR9 are two of the adjusters. The \textit{prr7, prr9}, and the double mutant \textit{prr7 prr9}, make the clock slower (Alabadi \textit{et al.}, 2001; Farre \textit{et al.}, 2005; Yamamoto \textit{et al.}, 2003). In addition, the \textit{prr7-3 prr9-1} double mutant is not able to be reset by temperature pulses (Salome and McClung, 2005; Salome \textit{et al.}, 2010). The third layer (outer layer) contains the “signal mediators” (Figure 5.2). The signal mediators interact with the signal receivers to complete the signal transduction. The signal mediators do not have to be clock outputs. ELF3 may be one of the mediators. The repressing efficiency of ELF3 on \textit{PRR7} and \textit{PRR9} changes at different temperatures (Mizuno \textit{et al.}, 2014). The temperature induction of \textit{PRR7} and \textit{PRR9} mRNA accumulations were eliminated in the \textit{elf3-1} mutant. (Thines and Harmon, 2010).
Figure 5.2 The three-layer clock model.
The three-layer clock model divides the clock into three hierarchies. The core components CCA1, LHY, and TOC1 are included in the first layer (white cycle). Defined as “adjuster”, PRR7 and PRR9 are included in the second layer (light green cycle). The third layer (dark green cycle) contains the “signal mediators”, such as ELF3.

In conclusion, since many organisms have evolved a circadian clock, the clock itself should be fairly conserved. Therefore, I propose that the clock only contains two genes, a MyB and a PRR. Considering the algae, its clock may not contain a temperature-regulation pathway, due to constant temperature in water. From an evolutionary perspective, living organisms may develop temperature-regulating clock systems when they left the water and started to live on the land. This could have driven an increase in clock complexity.
5.2 Perspectives

5.2.1 Clock rhythms under different temperature

The hsp90.2-3 mutant under warm-cold entrainment showed a longer period compared to the Ws wild type. The entrainment condition was 22°C for 12h and 16°C for 12h. The free running stage was at 22°C. To examine effect of Hsp90 on the clock at different temperature, more experiments can be performed under different entrainment conditions.

The warm entrainment condition can be set as 28°C for 12h and 22°C for 12h while the cool entrainment condition can be set as 16°C for 12h and 12°C for 12h. As the period is lengthened by higher temperature and shortened by lower temperature, but what remains unclear is how the clock behaves under these entrainment condition when Hsp90 is inhibited. There must be several temperature regulation-pathways involved in the Arabidopsis circadian clock, as the dynamic balance between LHY and GI at higher temperature provides temperature compensation while at lower temperatures CCA1 plays a greater role in temperature compensation and the maintenance of rhythm robustness (Gould et al., 2006). It will also be interesting to examine how cca1, lhy, toc1, prr7, prr9, gi, and elf3 mutants respond to higher and lower temperatures when Hsp90 is inhibited.

5.2.2 Temperature effect on transcription

The mRNA accumulation data was collected from seedlings growing under normal temperature entrainment condition. This revealed how Hsp90 influenced the transcription of clock genes. However, at different temperatures, the gene accumulation of CCA1, LHY, PRR7, PRR9, and GI can vary, especially in darkness (Mizuno et al., 2014). Thus, it is worth testing the effect of Hsp90 on gene accumulation at different temperatures.

As demonstrated in Chapter 3, ELF3 is one target of Hsp90. Moreover, evening complex ELF3-ELF4-LUX represses the transcription of PRR7, PRR9 and GI, but this repression was compromised by exposure to higher temperatures (Mizuno et al., 2014). However, elevated PRR7, PRR9, and GI mRNA accumulations were eliminated in the elf3-1 mutant (Thines and Harmon, 2010). Examining the
accumulation of clock genes in elf3 mutant with or without Hsp90 being inhibited may reveal how Hsp90 influence the clock through ELF3.

5.2.3 Analysis of other Hsp90.2 mutants

I measured the period of other hsp90.2 mutants (hsp90.2-1, hsp90.2-4, hsp90.2-6, hsp90.2-7, and hsp90.2-8), which gave a basic insight on the clocks of these hsp90.2 mutants. More experiments should be performed to examine the other clock properties of these hsp90.2 mutants. These could include measuring period under cool and warm temperature, measuring phase, and examine the transcription and protein levels of the clock components.

The hsp90.2-4 and hsp90.2-8 mutations are more interesting, because they resulted in a short period, which is different from other hsp90.2 mutations. However, the mechanism is still unclear. As GDA can lengthen the period, it will be interesting to test if the period shortening in hsp90.2-4 and hsp90.2-8 mutants can be compromised or enhanced by GDA.

In the future, it can be helpful to generate hsp90.2 cca1, hsp90.2 lhy, hsp90.2 elf3 double mutants. These mutants can be used to identify potential targets of Hsp90.2 involved in different regulation pathways. GDA can mimic the hsp90.2-3 mutation, as they may both result in defects of ATP-binding. However, for hsp90.2-4 and hsp90.2-8 mutants, they showed a shorter period. To identify how hsp90 shortens the period, the hsp90.2 and clock genes double mutants are required.

5.2.4 Protein assays on Hsp90.2 and clock components

As I identified in Chapter 3, ELF3 could physically interact with Hsp90 (Figure 3.13). However, the detailed mechanism needs to be further studied. It is possible that the interaction is ATP-dependent. Therefore, blocking ATP-binding may interrupt the interactions between Hsp90.2 and ELF3. Either adding GDA or removing ATP can reveal if the interaction between Hsp90 and ELF3 is ATP-dependent. By adding ATP analogues instead of ATP, the interaction may also be interrupted.

The Hsp90.2-ELF3 interaction may not only be ATP-dependent. The point mutations of hsp90.2-4 and hsp90.2-8 may also alter the conformation of the protein-binding sites of Hsp90.2, which may directly interrupt the interaction between Hsp90.2
and its protein clients. It is possible that the clock components bind to different site on the middle domain of Hsp90.2. Therefore, when one binding site is mutated, only some of components, but not all are influenced. In conclusion, how Hsp90 functions regulatory roles will be explored in further details using the available biochemical, genetic, and chemical tools.
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