

Table of Contents

Chapter 1

General Introduction	1
1.1 Evolution and systematics of the Cactaceae	1
1.2 Subject to debates: Cactaceae classification	2
1.3 Current understanding of phylogenetic relationships in Cactaceae	3
1.4 Epiphytic Cactaceae lineages	4
1.4.1 Origin of the epiphytic cacti, their phylogenetic position and putative closest relatives and overview on earlier taxonomic treatments	5
1.4.2 The tribe Rhipsalideae DC.	7
1.5 Phylogeny inference at species level.....	11
1.6 Marker choice for species-level studies	12
1.7 Plant DNA barcoding	13
1.8 Background, aims and outline of this study	14
1.8.1 Study outline	15

Chapter 2

A phylogenetic analysis of <i>Pfeiffera</i> and the reinstatement of <i>Lymanbensonia</i> as an independently evolved lineage of epiphytic Cactaceae within a new tribe Lymanbensonieae	17
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Material and Methods	21
2.2.1 Plant material and taxon sampling	21
2.2.2 Isolation of genomic DNA	21
2.2.3 Amplification and sequencing	21
2.2.4 Alignment, coding of length mutational events	22
2.2.5 Outgroup definition.....	23
2.2.6 Phylogenetic analyses	23
2.3 Results.....	24
2.3.1 Success of amplification, sequencing and alignability.....	24
2.3.2 Sequence characteristics.....	24
2.3.3 Inversions	26
2.3.4 Position and circumscription of <i>Pfeiffera</i>	26
2.3.4.1 Trees for <i>Pfeiffera</i> inferred from single markers.....	29
2.3.4.2 Relationships within <i>Pfeiffera</i> inferred from the combined dataset.....	31
2.4 Discussion	32

Table of Contents

2.4.1	Phylogenetic signal and mutational dynamics of the markers used	32
2.4.2	Circumscription of <i>Pfeiffera</i> and reinstatement of <i>Lymanbensonia</i>	32
2.4.3	The putative closest relatives of <i>Pfeiffera</i>	33
2.4.4	The placement of <i>Lymanbensonia</i>	34
2.4.5	Relationships within <i>Pfeiffera</i>	35
2.4.6	Relationships within <i>Lymanbensonia</i>	37
2.4.7	Generic concepts and morphological characters of <i>Pfeiffera</i> and associated genera.....	37
2.4.8	Biogeographical patterns	40
2.5	Conclusions and future work.....	42
2.6	Taxonomic conclusions.....	43

Chapter 3

How much does it take to resolve relationships and to identify species with molecular markers? An example from the epiphytic Rhipsalideae (Cactaceae)

3.1	Introduction.....	52
3.2	Material and Methods	56
3.2.1	Plant material and taxon sampling	56
3.2.2	Isolation of genomic DNA	56
3.2.3	Amplification and sequencing	57
3.2.4	Sequence alignment, coding of length mutational events	57
3.2.5	Phylogenetic analyses	58
3.2.6	Comparison of marker performance / phylogenetic structure <i>R</i>	58
3.2.7	Definition of operational taxonomic units (OTUs).....	59
3.2.8	Testing of OTU identification success.....	59
3.3	Results	60
3.3.1	Sequence characteristics.....	60
3.3.2	Microstructural mutations.....	60
3.3.3	Trees from the single loci.....	63
3.3.4	Trees from the combined plastid data set.....	63
3.3.5	Phylogenetic structure <i>R</i>	64
3.3.6	Success of OTU identification.....	64
3.4	Discussion.....	68
3.4.1	Major relationships within Rhipsalideae.....	68
3.4.2	Relationships within main Rhipsalideae lineages, circumscription of genera and subgeneric classification	69
3.4.2.1	<i>Schlumbergera</i>	69
3.4.2.2	<i>Hatiora</i>	71

3.4.2.3	<i>Rhipsalidopsis</i>	71
3.4.2.4	<i>Lepismium</i>	71
3.4.2.5	<i>Rhipsalis</i>	72
	Subg. <i>Calamorhipsalis</i> K.Schum. (incl. subg. <i>Epallagonium</i> K.Schum. p.p.).....	72
	Subg. <i>Erythrorhipsalis</i> Berger	73
	Subg. <i>Epallagonium</i> K.Schum.....	74
	Subg. <i>Goniorhipsalis</i> K.Schum. (incl. subg. <i>Epallagonium</i> p.p., subg. <i>Rhipsalis</i> p.p.)	74
	Subg. <i>Phyllarthrorhipsalis</i> Buxb. (including subg. <i>Rhipsalis</i> p.p.) ..	74
	Subgenus <i>Rhipsalis</i>	76
	The Old World <i>Rhipsalis</i>	77
3.4.3	The potential of markers for OTU identification within Rhipsalideae	78
3.4.4	Phylogenetic utility of the regions used.....	81
3.4.5	Comparison of phylogenetic utility and species identification potential of the markers used	85
3.4.6	An improved classification system for Rhipsalideae	85

Chapter 4

Morphology and character evolution of the Rhipsalideae		87
4.1	Introduction	88
4.1.1	Characters applied as diagnostic for taxonomic groups in the Rhipsalideae....	88
4.1.2	State of knowledge on morphological characters	90
4.2	Material and Methods	92
4.2.1	Taxon sampling	92
4.2.2	Morphological data.....	92
4.2.3	Analysis of character evolution	92
4.2.4	Modifications of the matrix for BayesTraits analyses	93
4.3	List of morphological characters and their states	94
4.4	Results and discussion Character evolution in the Rhipsalideae.....	99
4.4.1	Synapomorphies of the Rhipsalideae.....	99
4.4.2	Apomorphic versus highly homoplastic characters	99
4.4.3	Evolution of characters associated with the epiphytic life-form	110
4.4.4	Evolution of floral traits and assumed pollination syndromes	114
4.4.5	Morphological intermediates in the Rhipsalideae	116
4.4.6	Morphological characterization of the clades inferred by sequence data corresponding to genera.....	117

Chapter 5

Towards understanding the historical phylogeography of <i>Rhipsalis baccifera</i>, the most widespread cactus	121
5.1 Introduction.....	122
5.2 Material and Methods	124
5.2.1 Plant material and taxon sampling	124
5.2.2 Isolation of genomic DNA, amplification and sequencing	124
5.2.3 Phylogenetic analyses and haplotype network construction.....	124
5.3 Results	125
5.3.1 Sequence characteristics, phylogenetic analyses and haplotype network construction	125
5.4 Discussion.....	127
5.5 Conclusions and outlook	128

Chapter 6

Development of microsatellite loci for <i>Rhipsalis baccifera</i> using 454 sequencing	129
6.1 Introduction.....	129
6.2 Material and Methods	130
6.2.1 Plant material and taxon sampling	130
6.2.2 Chromosome count	130
6.2.3 Isolation of genomic DNA	130
6.2.4 Genomic library construction	131
6.2.5 454 sequencing	132
6.2.6 Screening for repetitive motifs and primer design.....	132
6.3 Results	133
6.3.1 Chromosome counts	133
6.3.2 454 sequencing and microsatellite loci found	133
6.4 Discussion.....	133
References.....	139
Summary	153
Appendices.....	157
Appendix 1. Plant material used in this study.....	157
Appendix 2. PCR amplification protocols	167
Appendix 3. Sequence parts excluded from the phylogenetic analyses	169
Appendix 4. List of indels coded from the combined Rhipsalideae dataset.....	170
Appendix 5. Success of single partitions and the combined dataset in OTU identification.....	174

Appendix 6. Comparison of trees inferred from parsimony analyses of single markers
and the complete dataset for the Rhipsalideae176

Appendix 7. Trees from maximum parsimony analyses of the single markers177

Appendix 8 Matrix of morphological characters.