

# Contents

<b>Summary</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2 Cosmology and structure formation</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Cosmology . . . . .	9
2.1.1 Homogeneous and isotropic world models . . . . .	9
2.1.2 Redshift and distances . . . . .	12
2.1.3 The Cosmic Microwave Background . . . . .	14
2.2 Structure formation . . . . .	14
2.2.1 Linear structure growth . . . . .	16
2.2.2 Statistical properties of the density field . . . . .	18
2.2.3 The initial power spectrum . . . . .	20
2.2.4 Transfer function . . . . .	20
2.2.5 Power spectrum normalisation . . . . .	22
2.2.6 Non-linear evolution and simulations . . . . .	23
2.3 Measurement of cosmological parameters . . . . .	26
2.3.1 Hubble constant . . . . .	26
2.3.2 Density parameters . . . . .	26
2.3.3 Power spectrum estimation . . . . .	29
2.3.4 Dark energy equation of state parameter . . . . .	30
<b>3 Gravitational lensing</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1 Basics of lensing in the thin lens approximation . . . . .	33
3.1.1 The lens equation . . . . .	33
3.1.2 From the deflection angle to the deflection potential . . . . .	35
3.1.3 Image distortion and magnification . . . . .	36
3.2 Principles of weak gravitational lensing . . . . .	38
3.2.1 Ellipticities as a measure of shear . . . . .	40
3.2.2 Mass reconstruction . . . . .	42
3.3 Cosmological weak lensing . . . . .	44
3.3.1 Gravitational lensing by the 3-D matter distribution . . . . .	46
3.3.2 Cosmic shear measures and theoretical predictions . . . . .	49

3.3.2.1	The shear dispersion . . . . .	49
3.3.2.2	The aperture mass dispersion . . . . .	51
3.3.2.3	The shear correlation functions . . . . .	51
3.3.2.4	Interrelations of the shear measures . . . . .	52
3.3.2.5	Advantages of the shear correlation functions . . . . .	52
3.3.3	E-modes and B-modes . . . . .	53
3.4	Practical concerns for measuring shear . . . . .	55
3.4.1	Measurement difficulties . . . . .	55
3.4.2	The KSB+ method . . . . .	56
3.4.2.1	General description . . . . .	56
3.4.2.2	Special features of the KSB+ implementation . . . . .	58
3.4.3	Practical shear estimators . . . . .	59
<b>4</b>	<b>Testing and improving KSB+ with the STEP simulations</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	61
4.2	STEP1: Simple simulations of ground-based data . . . . .	62
4.2.1	Skymaker simulations . . . . .	63
4.2.2	Shear estimation . . . . .	64
4.2.3	Analysis . . . . .	66
4.2.3.1	Object detection . . . . .	66
4.2.3.2	Calibration bias and PSF contamination . . . . .	66
4.2.3.3	Selection bias . . . . .	69
4.2.3.4	Weight bias . . . . .	71
4.2.4	Dependence on galaxy properties and scale factor $X$ . . . . .	71
4.2.5	Improving the cuts . . . . .	75
4.2.6	Understanding the different KSB+ results seen in STEP1 . . . . .	75
4.2.6.1	Performance of the different pipelines . . . . .	75
4.2.6.2	A common trend but differences in details . . . . .	77
4.2.7	Impact of the $P^g$ -correction . . . . .	78
4.2.7.1	Tensor correction . . . . .	78
4.2.7.2	$P^g$ -fitting . . . . .	78
4.2.8	Conclusions from STEP1 . . . . .	79
4.3	STEP2: High precision simulations . . . . .	82
4.3.1	Shapelet simulations . . . . .	82
4.3.2	Shear measurement . . . . .	84
4.3.3	Analysis . . . . .	84
4.3.3.1	Object detection . . . . .	86
4.3.3.2	PSF modelling . . . . .	86
4.3.3.3	Shear calibration bias and PSF anisotropy contamination . . . . .	86
4.3.3.4	Performance of the other KSB+ pipelines . . . . .	89
4.3.4	Pixelisation effects . . . . .	89
4.3.5	Galaxy morphology . . . . .	89
4.3.6	Magnitude and size dependence . . . . .	90

---

4.3.7	Impact of noise correlations . . . . .	90
4.3.8	$P^8$ -fitting . . . . .	95
4.3.9	Conclusions from STEP2 . . . . .	96
4.4	STEP3: Simulated space-based images . . . . .	99
4.4.1	Simulations . . . . .	99
4.4.2	Shear estimation . . . . .	99
4.4.3	Analysis . . . . .	102
4.4.4	Subtraction of the intrinsic ellipticity . . . . .	103
4.4.5	Dependence on galaxy properties . . . . .	105
4.4.6	Conclusions from STEP3 . . . . .	106
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	110
<b>5</b>	<b>Instrument and data reduction</b> . . . . .	<b>111</b>
5.1	The Advanced Camera for Surveys on-board the Hubble Space Telescope . . . . .	111
5.1.1	The Hubble Space Telescope . . . . .	111
5.1.2	The Advanced Camera for Surveys . . . . .	112
5.1.3	Instrument design of the ACS Wide Field Channel . . . . .	112
5.1.4	Geometric distortion of the WFC . . . . .	113
5.1.5	Parallel observations . . . . .	115
5.1.6	Cosmic rays, hot pixels, and other image defects . . . . .	116
5.1.7	Charge-transfer efficiency . . . . .	118
5.1.8	Tracking precision and velocity aberration . . . . .	118
5.1.9	ACS status . . . . .	119
5.2	Mark-I reduction . . . . .	120
5.2.1	On-the-fly re-processing by the archive . . . . .	120
5.2.2	Association identification . . . . .	122
5.2.3	Drizzling and distortion correction . . . . .	122
5.2.3.1	Drizzling . . . . .	122
5.2.3.2	Motivation for drizzling ACS/WFC images . . . . .	123
5.2.3.3	PyDrizzle . . . . .	124
5.2.4	Cosmic ray rejection and co-addition with MultiDrizzle . . . . .	124
5.2.5	Shift refinement . . . . .	125
5.2.6	Parameters for the data reduction . . . . .	127
5.3	Mark-II reduction and the HAGGLeS pipeline . . . . .	129
5.3.1	HAGGLeS pipeline overview . . . . .	129
5.3.2	Improved background subtraction . . . . .	131
5.3.3	Web inspection tool . . . . .	131
5.3.4	Efficient masking of FLT frames . . . . .	133
5.3.5	Improved bad pixel masks . . . . .	134
5.3.5.1	Mask creation . . . . .	134
5.3.5.2	Column masking with ccdmask . . . . .	137
5.3.6	Computation of an accurate noise model . . . . .	137
5.3.7	Robust shift refinement . . . . .	138

5.3.8	MultiDrizzle parameters and output RMS image . . . . .	140
<b>6</b>	<b>Analysis of the GEMS and early ACS parallel data</b>	<b>141</b>
6.1	Data . . . . .	142
6.1.1	The ACS parallel data . . . . .	142
6.1.1.1	Data reduction . . . . .	142
6.1.1.2	Field selection . . . . .	143
6.1.2	The GEMS+GOODS data . . . . .	144
6.1.3	Catalogue creation . . . . .	145
6.2	PSF analysis and correction . . . . .	147
6.2.1	Star selection . . . . .	147
6.2.2	PSF anisotropy variation . . . . .	148
6.2.3	PSF width variation . . . . .	149
6.2.4	PSF correction scheme . . . . .	150
6.2.4.1	Description of the algorithm . . . . .	150
6.2.4.2	Test with star fields . . . . .	154
6.2.4.3	Discussion of the algorithm . . . . .	156
6.2.4.4	Advantages of our PSF correction scheme . . . . .	156
6.2.4.5	Comparison to independent correction schemes . . . . .	157
6.3	Galaxy catalogue and redshift distribution . . . . .	158
6.3.1	Galaxy selection . . . . .	158
6.3.2	Comparison of shear catalogues . . . . .	159
6.3.3	Redshift distribution . . . . .	160
6.4	Cosmic shear estimates and tests for systematics . . . . .	166
6.4.1	Average galaxy ellipticity . . . . .	166
6.4.1.1	Could it be residual PSF contamination? . . . . .	167
6.4.1.2	Impact of CTE degradation . . . . .	168
6.4.1.3	Impact of dithering . . . . .	170
6.4.2	Error estimates . . . . .	172
6.4.2.1	Parallel data . . . . .	172
6.4.2.2	GEMS and GOODS . . . . .	172
6.4.3	Star-galaxy cross-correlation . . . . .	173
6.4.4	E-/B-mode decomposition . . . . .	175
6.4.4.1	$\xi^E/\xi^B$ decomposition . . . . .	175
6.4.4.2	$\langle M_{\text{ap}}^2 \rangle / \langle M_{\perp}^2 \rangle$ decomposition . . . . .	176
6.4.5	Shear correlation functions . . . . .	176
6.4.5.1	GEMS and GOODS data . . . . .	177
6.4.5.2	Parallel data . . . . .	177
6.5	Cosmological parameter estimation from the GEMS and GOODS data . . . . .	178
6.6	Conclusions . . . . .	181

<b>7</b>	<b>Preliminary analysis of the ACS COSMOS Survey</b>	<b>185</b>
7.1	Data and data reduction . . . . .	186
7.2	Catalogue creation . . . . .	186
7.2.1	Automated masking in co-added images . . . . .	186
7.2.2	Object detection and shape measurement . . . . .	188
7.2.3	Astrometric registration of neighbouring tiles . . . . .	188
7.2.4	PSF correction and galaxy selection . . . . .	190
7.2.5	CTE correction . . . . .	190
7.3	Redshift distribution . . . . .	192
7.4	Dark matter mapping . . . . .	196
7.5	Shear statistics and tests for systematics . . . . .	196
7.6	Cosmological parameter estimation . . . . .	198
7.7	Principal component analysis of the ACS PSF . . . . .	203
7.7.1	General description . . . . .	203
7.7.2	Application to the PSF models . . . . .	205
7.8	Conclusions . . . . .	212
<b>8</b>	<b>Other ACS projects</b>	<b>215</b>
8.1	Measuring the mass distribution of the merging galaxy cluster 1E0657–56 with strong and weak lensing . . . . .	215
8.2	Comparing X-ray and gravitational lensing mass estimates of the most X-ray luminous galaxy cluster RXJ1347.5–1145 . . . . .	216
8.3	HAGGLeS: The HST Archive Galaxy-scale Gravitational Lens Survey . . . . .	218
8.3.1	The HAGGLeS strong lens search fields . . . . .	218
8.3.2	The HAGGLeS weak lensing fields . . . . .	218
8.4	STAGES: the A901/A902 super-cluster field . . . . .	219
<b>9</b>	<b>Conclusions and outlook</b>	<b>221</b>
9.1	Conclusions . . . . .	221
9.2	Outlook . . . . .	224
<b>A</b>	<b>The KSB+ formalism</b>	<b>227</b>
A.1	Influence of PSF anisotropy . . . . .	228
A.2	Influence of PSF smearing . . . . .	229
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>231</b>
	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>241</b>